CHEST

Official publication of the American C ollege of Chest Physicians



Perioperative Management of Antithrombotic Therapy : Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

James D. Douketis, Alex C. Spyropoulos, Frederick A. Spencer, Michael Mayr, Amir K. Jaffer, Mark H. Eckman, Andrew S. Dunn and Regina Kunz

Chest 2012;141;e326S-e350S DOI 10.1378/chest.11-2298

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services can be found online on the World Wide Web at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e326S.full.html

Supplemental material related to this article is available at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2_suppl. e326S.DC1.html

Chest is the official journal of the American College of Chest Physicians. It has been published monthly since 1935. Copyright2012by the American College of Chest Physicians, 3300 Dundee Road, Northbrook, IL 60062. All rights reserved. No part of this article or PDF may be reproduced or distributed without the prior written permission of the copyright holder. (http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml) ISSN:0012-3692



Downloaded from chestjournal.chestpubs.org at Washington University on March 5, 2012 © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians



CHEST

ANTITHROMBOTIC THERAPY AND PREVENTION OF THROMBOSIS, 9TH ED: ACCP GUIDELINES

Perioperative Management of Antithrombotic Therapy

Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

James D. Douketis, MD, FCCP; Alex C. Spyropoulos, MD, FCCP; Frederick A. Spencer, MD; Michael Mayr, MD; Amir K. Jaffer, MD, FHM; Mark H. Eckman, MD; Andrew S. Dunn, MD; and Regina Kunz, MD, MSc (Epi)

Background: This guideline addresses the management of patients who are receiving anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy and require an elective surgery or procedure.

Methods: The methods herein follow those discussed in the Methodology for the Development of Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Guidelines. Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines article of this supplement.

Results: In patients requiring vitamin K antagonist (VKA) interruption before surgery, we recommend stopping VKAs 5 days before surgery instead of a shorter time before surgery (Grade 1B). In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at high risk for thromboembolism, we suggest bridging anticoagulation instead of no bridging during VKA interruption (Grade 2C); in patients at low risk, we suggest no bridging instead of bridging (Grade 2C). In patients who require a dental procedure, we suggest continuing VKAs with an oral prohemostatic agent or stopping VKAs 2 to 3 days before the procedure instead of alternative strategies (Grade 2C). In moderate- to high-risk patients who are receiving acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) and require non-cardiac surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of surgery instead of stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C). In patients with a coronary stent who require surgery, we recommend deferring surgery >6 weeks after bare-metal stent placement and >6 months after drug-eluting stent placement instead of undertaking surgery within these time periods (Grade 1C); in patients requiring surgery within 6 weeks of bare-metal stent placement or within 6 months of drug-eluting stent placement, we suggest continuing antiplatelet therapy perioperatively instead of stopping therapy 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C).

Conclusions: Perioperative antithrombotic management is based on risk assessment for thromboembolism and bleeding, and recommended approaches aim to simplify patient management and minimize adverse clinical outcomes. CHEST 2012; 141(2)(Suppl):e326S-e350S

Abbreviations: aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time; ASA = acetylsalicylic acid; AT8 = Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition); AT9 = Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines; ATE = arterial thromboembolism; CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; CHADS₂ = congestive heart failure, hypertension, age \geq 75 years, diabetes mellitus, prior stroke or transient ischemic attack; INR = international normalized ratio; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; PICO = population, intervention, comparator, and outcome; SC = subcutaneous; UFH = unfractionated heparin; VKA = vitamin K antagonist

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Note on Shaded Text: Throughout this guideline, shading is used within the summary of recommendations sections to indicate recommendations that are newly added or have been changed since the publication of Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Recommendations that remain unchanged are not shaded.

Perioperative Management of Antithrombotic Therapy

2.1. In patients who require temporary interruption of a VKA before surgery, we recommend stopping VKAs approximately 5 days before surgery <u>instead of</u> stopping VKAs a shorter time before surgery (Grade 1C).

2.2. In patients who require temporary interruption of a VKA before surgery, we recommend resuming VKAs approximately 12 to 24 h after surgery (evening of or next morning) and when there is adequate hemostasis <u>instead of</u> later resumption of VKAs (Grade 2C).

2.4. In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at high risk for thromboembolism, we suggest bridging anticoagulation *instead of* no bridging during interruption of VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

Remarks: Patients who place a higher value on avoiding perioperative bleeding than on avoiding perioperative thromboembolism are likely to decline heparin bridging.

In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at low risk for thromboembolism, we suggest no bridging <u>instead of</u> bridging anticoagulation during interruption of VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

Funding/Support: The Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines received support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute [R13 HL104758] and Bayer Schering Pharma AG. Support in the form of educational grants was also provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb; Pfizer, Inc; Canyon Pharmaceuticals; and sanofi-aventis US.

Disclaimer: American College of Chest Physician guidelines are intended for general information only, are not medical advice, and do not replace professional medical care and physician advice, which always should be sought for any medical condition. The complete disclaimer for this guideline can be accessed at http:// chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/1S.

Correspondence to: Regina Kunz, MD, MSc (Epi), Academy of Swiss Insurance Medicine, Department of Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Petersgraben 4, 4031, Basel, Switzerland; e-mail: RKunz@uhbs.ch

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians (http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml).

DOI: 10.1378/chest.11-2298

In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at moderate risk for thromboembolism, the bridging or no-bridging approach chosen is, as in the higher- and lowerrisk patients, based on an assessment of individual patient- and surgery-related factors.

2.5. In patients who require a minor dental procedure, we suggest continuing VKAs with coadministration of an oral prohemostatic agent or stopping VKAs 2 to 3 days before the procedure *instead of* alternative strategies (Grade 2C). In patients who require minor dermatologic procedures and are receiving VKA therapy, we suggest continuing VKAs around the time of the procedure and optimizing local hemostasis *instead of* other strategies (Grade 2C). In patients who require cataract surgery and are receiving VKA therapy, we suggest continuing VKAs around the time of the surgery *instead of* other strategies (Grade 2C).

3.4. In patients who are receiving ASA for the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease and are having minor dental or dermatologic procedures or cataract surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of the procedure *instead of* stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before the procedure (Grade 2C).

3.5. In patients at moderate to high risk for cardiovascular events who are receiving ASA therapy and require noncardiac surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of surgery instead of stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C). In patients at low risk for cardiovascular events who are receiving ASA therapy, we suggest stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery instead of continuation of ASA (Grade 2C).

3.6. In patients who are receiving ASA and require CABG surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of surgery *instead of* stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C). In patients who are receiving dual antiplatelet drug therapy and require CABG surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of surgery and stopping clopidogrel/prasugrel 5 days before surgery *instead of* continuing dual antiplatelet therapy around the time of surgery (Grade 2C).

3.7. In patients with a coronary stent who are receiving dual antiplatelet therapy and require surgery, we recommend deferring surgery for at least 6 weeks after placement of a bare-metal stent and for at least 6 months after placement

Revision accepted August 31, 2011.

Affiliations: From the Department of Medicine (Drs Douketis and Spencer), McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada; Department of Medicine (Dr Spyropoulos), University of Rochester, Rochester, NY; Medical Outpatient Department (Dr Mayr), University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland; Division of Hospital Medicine (Dr Jaffer), Department of Medicine, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL; Division of General Internal Medicine and Center for Clinical Effectiveness (Dr Eckman), University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH; Department of Medicine (Dr Dunn), Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; and Academy of Swiss Insurance Medicine (Dr Kunz), Department of Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

of a drug-eluting stent <u>instead of</u> undertaking surgery within these time periods (Grade 1C). In patients who require surgery within 6 weeks of placement of a bare-metal stent or within 6 months of placement of a drug-eluting stent, we suggest continuing dual antiplatelet therapy around the time of surgery <u>instead of</u> stopping dual antiplatelet therapy 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C).

4.2. In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose IV UFH, we suggest stopping UFH 4 to 6 h before surgery *instead of* closer to surgery (Grade 2C).

Remarks: Patients who are more concerned about avoiding the unknown, but potentially large increase in bleeding risk associated with the perioperative continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy than avoiding the risk for coronary stent thrombosis are unlikely to choose continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy.

4.3. In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose SC LMWH, we suggest administering the last preoperative dose of LMWH approximately 24 h before surgery <u>instead of</u> 12 h before surgery (Grade 2C).

4.4. In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose SC LMWH and are undergoing high-bleeding-risk surgery, we suggest resuming therapeutic-dose LMWH 48 to 72 h after surgery <u>instead of</u> resuming LMWH within 24 h after surgery (Grade 2C).

The perioperative management of patients who \mathbf{I} are receiving vitamin \mathbf{K} antagonists (VKAs) or antiplatelet drugs and require a surgical or invasive procedure presents a dilemma for practicing clinicians. This clinical problem affects an estimated 250,000 patients annually in North America alone and is of interest to a wide spectrum of clinicians, including internists, surgeons, anesthetists, family physicians, and dentists.¹⁻³ However, there is a relative paucity of well-designed clinical trials to inform best practices and a disproportionately large number of methodologically weak observational studies.4-8 The aims of this article are to (1) provide guidelines for perioperative antithrombotic management that reflect the quality of the available evidence and (2) provide guidance for clinicians as to the practical aspects of antithrombotic management in the perioperative setting.

The current iteration of this article differs from that of Douketis et al⁶ in the Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition) (AT8), in the following ways:

- There is a downgrading of several recommendations. This reflects the emergence of additional evidence and, in general, a higher threshold for conferring strong (level 1) recommendations, which has been adopted across the Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (AT9).
- There are fewer recommendations in AT9. We aimed to make evidence-based recommendations on areas where studies exist that are directly pertinent to the clinical questions we developed, which were based on the population, intervention, comparator, and outcome (PICO) format. Whenever possible, we relied less on indirect evidence from studies in the nonperioperative setting.
- For areas in which a PICO question was not developed but are, nonetheless, considered relevant for everyday practice, we have provided narrative comments in the text without specific recommendations.
- The antithrombotic management of patients requiring urgent surgery is now addressed in the article by Falck-Ytter et al⁹ in this guideline.

The following definitions and qualifying remarks are aimed to facilitate an understanding of this article and its accompanying recommendations:

- Bridging anticoagulation. In the absence of a universally accepted definition,^{6,10,11} we define bridging anticoagulation as the administration of a short-acting anticoagulant, consisting of subcutaneous (SC) low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) or IV unfractionated heparin (UFH), for an ~10- to 12-day period during interruption of VKA therapy when the international normalized ratio (INR) is not within a therapeutic range.
- Therapeutic intent of heparin bridging and dose regimens. Bridging anticoagulation aims to minimize the risk for arterial thromboembolism (ATE), such as stroke and systemic embolism, in patients with a mechanical heart valve or atrial fibrillation and to minimize the risk for recurrent thrombosis in patients with prior VTE. The argument for using a high-dose (or therapeuticdose) heparin regimen to prevent ATE is based on two considerations. First, this dose regimen with LMWH or UFH is comparable to a therapeutic-dose VKA regimen when used for the prevention of ATE in patients with a mechanical heart valve and the treatment of acute

VTE.^{12,13} Second, a therapeutic-dose regimen of idraparinux, a factor Xa antagonist with LMWHlike anticoagulant properties, is as efficacious as a VKA to prevent ATE in patients with atrial fibrillation.¹⁴ However, its efficacy to prevent perioperative ATE is not established. A lowdose (or prophylactic-dose) heparin regimen is known to prevent postoperative VTE, but its efficacy to prevent ATE is also not established, and the biological plausibility to support its efficacy for this therapeutic aim may be questioned.¹⁵ Finally, the use of LMWH or UFH as perioperative bridging is considered an off-label use of these drugs because their use is not approved by regulatory authorities or drug manufacturers in this clinical setting as a bridging agent.

- *Bridging dose regimens*. Three dose regimens have been studied:
 - 1. A high-dose (therapeutic-dose) heparin bridging regimen involves administering an anticoagulant dose that is similar to that used for the treatment of acute VTE or an acute coronary syndrome (eg, enoxaparin 1 mg/kg bid or 1.5 mg/kg daily, dalteparin 100 International Units/kg bid or 200 International Units/kg daily, tinzaparin 175 International Units/kg daily, IV UFH to attain an activated partial thromboplastin time [aPTT] 1.5 to 2 times the control aPTT).
 - A low-dose (prophylactic-dose) heparin regimen involves administering a dose that is used, typically, to prevent postoperative VTE (eg, enoxaparin 30 mg bid or 40 mg daily, dalteparin 5,000 International Units daily, UFH 5,000-7,500 International Units bid).
 - 3. An intermediate-dose regimen has recently been studied for bridging and is intermediate in anticoagulant intensity between high- and low-dose regimens (eg, enoxaparin 40 mg bid).
- Our recommendations relating to the need for bridging anticoagulation (section 2.4) will not refer to a specific bridging dose regimen and will deal with the issue of whether bridging is needed in a more generic sense.
- Our recommendations relating to the perioperative management of patients who are receiving bridging (section 4.0) will refer to a therapeuticdose bridging regimen because it is the most widely studied and most widely used in clinical practice. It is also the dose regimen that we consider most important for practice guidelines because it has the potential to confer the great-

est therapeutic benefit and the greatest harm. (We acknowledge that different bridging regimens may be used and that such regimens may have potential advantages or drawbacks compared with a therapeutic-dose regimen.)

 VKAs. Although several VKAs are available for clinical use, including warfarin, acenocoumarol, phenprocoumon, and anisindione,¹⁶ our recommendations will refer to warfarin because pertinent studies are dominated by warfarin use, with little or no study of other VKAs.

Practical Aspects of Perioperative Antithrombotic Therapy Management

The perioperative management of patients who are receiving antithrombotic therapy is based on (1) an assessment of patient risk for thromboembolism and (2) an assessment of risk for perioperative bleeding. Addressing these issues will determine whether antithrombotic therapy is interrupted around the time of the surgery or procedure and, if so, whether bridging anticoagulation is considered. This article focuses on the three dominant clinical indications for VKA therapy: mechanical heart valves, chronic atrial fibrillation, and VTE.

To date, there are no validated risk stratification schemes to reliably separate VKA-treated patients into risk strata for thromboembolism and bleeding. Of necessity, our recommendations are based largely on indirect evidence and clinical experience.¹⁷⁻²⁰ These risk stratification schemes are meant to provide general guidance; however, patient management may vary depending on individual patient characteristics, the surgery or procedure type, and patient values and preferences.

Assessing Risk for Thromboembolism

Assessing patient risk for thromboembolism during the perioperative interruption of antithrombotic therapy is distinguished from assessing patient risk for postoperative VTE. In the former setting, patients are already receiving antithrombotic therapy, and the aim is mainly to prevent ATE, in some instances, with heparin bridging, whereas in the latter setting, the focus is on preventing postoperative VTE with de novo use of antithrombotic drugs.

The suggested thromboembolic risk stratification shown in Table 1 is based largely on indirect evidence from studies outside of the perioperative setting involving patients with a mechanical heart valve,²¹⁻²³ chronic atrial fibrillation,^{2,24-27} or VTE²⁸⁻³⁰ who either were not receiving anticoagulation (ie, placebo instead of a VKA in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation) or were receiving less-effective treatment (eg, ASA instead

www.chestpubs.org

	Table 1—[Introduction] St	Table 1—[Introduction] Suggested Risk Stratification for Perioperative Thromboembolism	tive Thromboembolism
		Indication for VKA Therapy	
Risk Stratum	Mechanical Heart Valve	Atrial Fibrillation	VTE
High ^a	 Any mitral valve prosthesis Any caged-ball or tilting disc aortic valve prosthesis Recent (within 6 mo) stroke or transient ischemic attack 	 CHADS₃ score of 5 or 6 Recent (within 3 mo) stroke or transient ischemic attack Rheumatic valvular heart disease 	 Recent (within 3 mo) VTE Severe thrombophilia (eg, deficiency of protein C, protein S, or antithrombin; antiphospholipid antibodies; multiple abnormalities)
Moderate	• Bileaflet aortic valve prosthesis and one or more of the of following risk factors: atrial fibrillation, prior stroke or transient ischemic attack, hypertension, diabetes, congestive heart failure, age > 75 y	• CHADS ₂ score of 3 or 4	 VTE within the past 3-12 mo Nonsevere thrombophilia (eg, heterozygous factor V Leiden or prothrombin gene mutation) Recurrent VTE Active cancer (treated within 6 mo or palliative)
Low	 Bileaflet aortic valve prosthesis without atrial fibrillation and no other risk factors for stroke 	\bullet CHADS $_2$ score of 0 to 2 (assuming no prior stroke or transient ischemic attack)	\bullet VTE $>\!12$ mo previous and no other risk factors
CHADS ₂ = congestive l ^a High-risk patients may temporary interruption major vascular surgery).	$CHADS_2 = congestive heart failure, hypertension, age \geq 75 years, diabetes mellitus, and stroke or transient ischemic attack; VKA = vitamin K antagonist. 4High-risk patients may also include those with a prior stroke or transient ischemic attack occurring > 3 mo before the planned surgery and a CHADS_2 sco temporary interruption of VKAs, or those undergoing certain types of surgery associated with an increased risk for stroke or other thromboembolism (eg. crain of vascular surgery).$	llitus, and stroke or transient ischemic attack; VKA mic attack occurring > 3 mo before the planned sur ussociated with an increased risk for stroke or other t	$CHADS_2 = congestive heart failure, hypertension, age \geq 75 years, diabetes mellitus, and stroke or transient ischemic attack; VKA = vitamin K antagonist.aHigh-risk patients may also include those with a prior stroke or transient ischemic attack occurring > 3 mo before the planned surgery and a CHADS_2 score < 5, those with prior thromboembolism during temporary interruption of VKAs, or those undergoing certain types of surgery associated with an increased risk for stroke or other thromboembolism (eg, cardiac valve replacement, carotid endarterectomy, major vascular surgery).$

of a VKA in patients with a mechanical heart valve). In this suggested risk classification, patients classified as high risk have a >10% annual risk for thromboembolism, patients classified as moderate risk have a 5% to 10% annual risk for thromboembolism, and patients classified as low risk have a <5% annual risk for thromboembolism. Estimates as to the perioperative risk for thromboembolism in specific patient groups that do not receive heparin bridging during VKA therapy interruption are limited and pertain mainly to patients with chronic atrial fibrillation or VTE.^{3,31}

One limitation of the suggested risk stratification is that individual patient factors may trump this classification. For example, a perceived high-risk patient group may also include those with atrial fibrillation and prior stroke and one additional stroke risk factor (ie, congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥ 75 years, diabetes mellitus, prior stroke or transient ischemic attack [CHADS₂] score of 3), even though such patients would be classified as being in the moderate-risk group in the classification shown in Table 1. In addition, patients with remote (>1 year ago), but severe VTE associated with pulmonary hypertension may be perceived as high risk, even though they would be classified as low risk.

Another general consideration in estimating perioperative risk for thromboembolism is the surgery type. Although it is established that patients having coronary artery bypass, heart valve replacement, or carotid endarterectomy are at increased risk for stroke compared with patients having other types of surgery, emerging evidence also suggests that among patients undergoing noncardiovascular surgery, the risk for stroke may vary depending on the type of surgery.³ Taken together, an estimate of individual patient risk for perioperative thromboembolism is subjective but should consider both the estimated baseline risk and the individual factors related to the patient and the surgery or procedure type.

Assessing Risk for Bleeding

The assessment of perioperative bleeding risk should consider two broad issues. First, for this article, we consider perioperative bleeding risk in the context of perioperative anticoagulant and antiplatelet drug administration and, in particular, when such drugs are administered in proximity to surgery. This distinction is important because whereas certain procedures may not typically result in postoperative bleeding, the administration of antithrombotic drugs may induce bleeding complications. For example, seemingly minor procedures, such as bowel polypectomy or pacemaker insertion, are not, in isolation, associated with increased bleeding but may be if anticoagulants are administered in proximity to the procedure. Second, a distinction should be made as to bleeding risk when anticoagulants are used as heparin bridging and when these agents are used to prevent postoperative venous thrombosis. In the former clinical setting, high-dose (or therapeutic-dose) bridging anticoagulation is administered, whereas in the latter setting, low-dose anticoagulants are used. The importance of surgical bleeding risk, therefore, is heightened in the setting of heparin bridging because of the potential to induce bleeding complications.

Providing an evidence-based scheme that stratifies surgeries and procedures according to the risk for bleeding in the context of perioperative antithrombotic drug use and, in particular, perioperative heparin bridging administration is problematic because the available evidence on such bleeding risk is based mainly on case series involving selected types of surgery. Furthermore, although there are schemes that stratify patients according to the expected intraoperative blood loss, these classifications have not been prospectively validated and do not account for the effects of perioperative use of antithrombotic drugs. Consequently, rather than propose a multitiered scheme that encompasses most surgery or procedure types that would be entirely subjective, we have identified a group of surgeries and procedures that appear to be associated with a high risk for bleeding in the context of perioperative anticoagulant and antiplatelet drug use.

Surgeries and procedures associated with an increased bleeding risk during perioperative antithrombotic drug administration include the following:

- Urologic surgery and procedures consisting of transurethral prostate resection, bladder resection, or tumor ablation; nephrectomy; or kidney biopsy in part due to untreated tissue damage (after prostatectomy) and endogenous urokinase release³²⁻³⁴
- Pacemaker or implantable cardioverterdefibrillator device implantation in which separation of infraclavicular fascial layers and lack of suturing of unopposed tissues within the device pocket may predispose to hematoma development³⁵⁻³⁸
- Colonic polyp resection, typically of large (ie, >1-2 cm long) sessile polyps, in which bleeding may occur at the transected stalk following hemostatic plug release³⁹
- Surgery and procedures in highly vascular organs, such as the kidney, liver, and spleen
- Bowel resection in which bleeding may occur at the bowel anastomosis site
- Major surgery with extensive tissue injury (eg, cancer surgery, joint arthroplasty, reconstructive plastic surgery)^{40,41}

• Cardiac, intracranial, or spinal surgery, especially as small pericardial, intracerebral, or epidural bleeds can have serious clinical consequences⁴²⁻⁴⁴

Standardized Perioperative Anticoagulant Therapy Protocols

Patients who are receiving warfarin and other antithrombotic drugs and require elective surgery or procedures may benefit from management according to standardized, institution-specific protocols. Although there are no randomized trials showing that a standard management approach improves clinical outcomes and minimizes health-care resource use, observational studies that incorporated standardized perioperative anticoagulant therapy protocols and heparin bridging regimens had low rates of thromboembolic and bleeding outcomes and appeared to allow efficient use of health-care resources.^{31,45-51} Institutions and practitioners may consider incorporating the following components into a standardized perioperative management protocol:

- Assessing patients at least 7 days before surgery to allow planning of perioperative anticoagulant management, especially before major surgery
- Providing patients and providers with a calendar outlining the perioperative timing of warfarin and antiplatelet drug discontinuation and resumption, dose and timing of LMWH bridging, and INR measurement schedule
- Ensuring that the perioperative management strategy (including timing of VKA and antiplatelet drug interruption and initiation and resumption of LWMH bridging) accounts for the drugs' pharmacokinetic profile and patients' thromboembolic and bleeding risks
- Ensuring patient and caregiver education on injection technique when outpatient LMWH bridging is administered
- INR testing on the day before surgery, where appropriate and feasible, to identify patients with elevated INRs and permit timely use of corrective oral vitamin K (1.0-2.5 mg), thereby avoiding blood product administration or surgery deferral⁵²
- Assessing postoperative hemostasis, preferably on the day of surgery and on the first postoperative day, to facilitate safe resumption of anticoagulant drugs

Cost-effectiveness of Perioperative Management Strategies

The cost-effectiveness of bridging anticoagulation has been assessed using various decision analysis models.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ These studies suggest that for patients other than those at highest risk for stroke and ATE, bridging anticoagulation is unnecessary. In patients undergoing minor dental procedures, decision analyses have suggested that continuation of VKA therapy is less expensive than VKA interruption with bridging therapy.⁵⁶ Studies of GI endoscopy have been consistent with American Society of Gastroenterology Guidelines, suggesting that continuing VKA therapy in patients having procedures associated with a low bleeding risk (eg, diagnostic endoscopy without biopsy) is less expensive than bridging, whereas discontinuation of VKA therapy without bridging is more costeffective in patients at low thromboembolic risk who are undergoing high-bleeding-risk procedures.⁵⁷ Prospective cohort studies have compared the costs of bridging anticoagulation with either in-hospital IV UFH or out-of-hospital SC LMWH.⁵⁸⁻⁶¹ In one study comparing patient-administered SC LMWH, nurseadministered SC LWMH, and in-hospital IV UFH, the anticoagulant-related costs for patients having surgery with an overnight hospital stay were estimated at US \$672, \$933, and \$3,916, respectively.⁵⁹ Another cohort study comparing costs in 26 patients who received in-hospital IV UFH and 40 patients who received out-of-hospital SC LMWH and had elective surgery found a significantly lower mean total health-care cost (by \$13,114) in patients who received perioperative LMWH.⁶⁰ Taken together, these findings lead to questions about the need for bridging therapy in patients not considered at high risk for ATE. Furthermore, these studies confirm considerable cost savings with the use of SC LMWHs instead of IV UFH, which can be given in an outpatient setting by the patient or a family member in > 90% of cases.^{45,46}

1.0 Methods

1.1 Data Sources

The Medline English-language database was searched from January 1970 to January 2010 using multiple keywords and standardized terminology, where applicable, as outlined in Appendix S1. This search was done in two parts. The first was a systematic review of the literature from 1970 to January 2007, which was used in AT8.⁶ The second search updated this search strategy to include studies up until January 2010. We supplemented these literature searches by conducting Internet-based searches of ClinicalTrials.gov, meeting abstracts, and conference proceedings. In addition, reference lists of studies that satisfied inclusion criteria were manually reviewed. Finally, content experts were contacted to identify additional studies that were not identified by these search strategies.

1.2 Development of Chapter Recommendations and Narrative

The development of recommendations followed a prespecified process based on the following four steps: (1) developing PICO questions for clinical topics deemed important, which are summarized in Table 2; (2) identifying pertinent studies from AT8 supplemented by additional searches of more recent studies; (3) developing provisional recommendations and parallel development of a draft manuscript and revision of original PICO questions; and (4) developing final recommendations by nonconflicted panelists. The development of article recommendations was guided by the topic editor (R. K.), whereas the development of the article narrative was overseen by the deputy editor (J. D.).

1.3 Development of Evidence Profiles, Summary of Findings Tables, and Recommendations

The recommendations in this article were developed in accordance with the methodologic changes in AT9 based on evidence profiles and summary of findings tables that followed the Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation system format. 62,63

For the section on the perioperative management of VKA therapy, we developed evidence profiles to formulate recommendations for the prespecified PICO questions. These evidence profiles include studies directly pertinent to our PICO questions. Our recommendations were based on studies in the evidence profile that provided data specific to the perioperative clinical setting. In cases where there also were relevant studies from the nonperioperative setting, which were not part of the evidence profile because they provided indirect data, these studies also had a bearing on the recommendations.

For the section on the perioperative management of antiplatelet therapy, evidence profiles were not produced in part because there were insufficient studies to develop profiles specific to the prespecified PICO questions. We produced summary of findings tables of all pertinent (but often indirect) data. The recommendations provided were based on the studies in these summary of findings tables, which are available in the online data supplement. As with the perioperative VKA management sections, additional studies may have been referenced in the narrative, but the recommendations were based entirely on studies in the summary of findings tables.

2.0 Perioperative Management of Patients Who Are Receiving VKA Therapy

2.1 Interruption of VKAs Before Surgery

In patients undergoing major surgery or procedures, interruption of VKAs, in general, is required to minimize perioperative bleeding,64-68 whereas VKA interruption may not be required in minor procedures as discussed in subsequent sections of this article. Interruption of VKAs before surgery with the intent of achieving normal or near-normal hemostasis at the time of surgery is based on the residual pharmacodynamic effects of VKAs and the associated time required for the regeneration of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors. This can be estimated by the elimination half-life of a VKA⁶⁹⁻⁷²: 8 to 11 h for acenocoumarol, 36 to 42 h for warfarin, and 96 to 104 h for phenprocoumon. Assuming first-order pharmacokinetics, each half-life elapsed corresponds to an \sim 50% reduction in residual anticoagulant effect: 50% after one half-life, 25% after two half-lives, 12.5% after three half-lives, 6.25% after four half-lives, and 3.125% after five half-lives. For patients in whom the intent is to normalize the

Section	Population	Intervention and Comparator	Outcomes	Available Methodology
		Patients receiving warfarin therapy		
2.1	Patients receiving warfarin therapy who require an elective surgery or invasive procedure	In patients who require warfarin interruption before surgery to attain normal or near-normal INR at surgery, stopping warfarin 5-6 d before surgery vs stopping warfarin < 5 d before surgery	Hemostasis at time of surgery (INR)	Observational studies
5		In patients with warfarin interruption before surgery, resuming warfarin 12-24 h after surgery (evening of or next day) and when there is hemostasis vs resuming warfarin > 24 h from surgery	Hemostasis at time of surgery	Observational studies
2.3		INR testing to monitor anticoagulant effect of warfarin before and after surgery vs no testing	Hemostasis at time of surgery (aPTT, antifactor Xa)	Observational studies
2.4	Need for bridging anticoagulation during perioperative warfarin interruption Patients considered at high risk for perioperative thromboembolism	Bridging anticoagulation with heparin/LMWH vs no bridging	Stroke, other systemic embolism, major hemorrhage	Observational studies
	Patients considered at moderate risk for perioperative thromboembolism Patients considered at low risk for perioperative thromboembolism	Bridging anticoagulation with heparin/LMWH vs no bridging Bridging anticoagulation with heparin/LMWH vs no brideing	Stroke, other systemic embolism, major hemorrhage Stroke, other systemic embolism, major hemorrhage	Observational studies Observational studies
5 15	Patients having minor dental, skin, or eye procedures Patients receiving warfarin therapy and having a minor dental procedure	Continuing warfarin and coadministering an oral prohemostatic drug vs stopping warfarin 5-6 d before the procedure without administering a prohemostatic drug	ATE or VTE, major hemorrhage	RCTs, observational studies
	Patients receiving warfarin therapy and having a minor skin procedure Patients receiving warfarin therapy and having cataract procedure	Continuing warfarin around the time of the procedure vs stopping warfarin 5-6 d before the procedure Continuing warfarin around the time of the procedure vs stopping warfarin 5-6 d before the procedure Patients receiving antiplatelet therapy	ATE or VTE, major hemorrhage ATE or VTE, postoperative bleeding	RCTs, observational studies RCTs, observational studies
3.4	Patients receiving antiplatelet therapy and having minor dental, skin, or eye procedure	Continuing antiplatelet drugs around the time of the procedure vs stopping antiplatelet drugs 7-10 d before procedure	Myocardial ischemia, postoperative bleeding	Observational
3.5	Patients receiving antiplatelet therapy and having elective noncardiac surgery	Continuing antiplatelet drugs around the time of the procedure vs stopping antiplatelet drugs 7-10 d before noncardiac surgery	Myocardial ischemia, postoperative bleeding	RCTs, observational studies
3.6	Patients receiving antiplatelet therapy and having elective CABG surgery	Continue antiplatelet drugs around the time of surgery vs stopping antiplatelet drugs 7-10 d before CABG	Myocardial ischemia, postoperative bleeding	Observational studies

Table 2-[Section 1.2] Structured Clinical Questions

www.chestpubs.org

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e333S

Downloaded from chestjournal.chestpubs.org at Washington University on March 5, 2012 © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

Section	Population	Intervention and Comparator	Outcomes	Methodology
_		Patients receiving warfarin therapy		
3.7	Patients with a coronary stent receiving antiplatelet therapy and having elective surgery	In patients with a bare-metal coronary stent who require surgery within 6 wk of stent placement or patients with a drug-eluting coronary stent who require surgery within 6 mo of stent placement, continuing antiplatelet drugs around the time surgery vs stopping antiplatelet drugs 7-10 d before surgery	Myocardial ischemia, postoperative bleeding	Observational studies
		Patients receiving heparin bridging anticoagulation		
4.2	Patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with thermontic-close IV ITFH	Stopping UFH 4-6 h before surgery vs stopping UFH closer to surgery	Postoperative bleeding	Observational studies
	moraphonno-mon is of it			
4.3	Patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with	Administering the last preoperative dose of LMWH ~24 h before surgery vs administering the last preoperative dose	Postoperative bleeding	Observational studies
	therapeutic-dose SC LMWH	of LMWH ~ 12 h before surgery		
4.4	Patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with			
	therapeutic-dose SC LMWH			
	Having surgery associated with high	Resuming the rapeutic-dose LMWH \leq 24 h after surgery	Postoperative bleeding	Observational
	bleeding risk	vs resuming LMWH >24 h after surgery		studies
	Having surgery associated with low	Resuming the rapeutic-dose LMWH \leq 24 h after surgery	Postoperative bleeding	Observational
	to moderate bleeding risk	vs resuming LMWH > 24 h after surgery		studies

Table 2—Continued

Perioperative Management of Antithrombotic Therapy

INR after interruption of warfarin (half-life, 36-42 h), one would anticipate requiring at least 5 days for most anticoagulant effect to be eliminated after stopping warfarin. However, the decay of the anticoagulant effect of warfarin after interruption may not follow this pattern; for example, there may be delayed decay in elderly patients.⁷³ For patients having minor procedures, where the anticipated bleeding is less, a shorter interval for VKA interruption may be sufficient whereby the aim is to attain an INR of 1.5 to 1.8 at the time of the procedure.⁷⁴

No randomized trials have directly compared the effects of early (5-6 days before surgery) vs delayed (< 5 days before surgery) interruption of warfarin on perioperative bleeding outcomes (Table S1). (Tables that contain an "S" before the number and Appendices denote supplementary information not contained in the body of the article and available instead in an online data supplement; see the "Acknowledgments" for more information.) The only nonrandomized study addressing this issue is a retrospective case series of 21 patients who stopped warfarin 36 h prior to polypectomy and had a mean INR of 2.3 (range, 1.4-4.9) on the day of endoscopy.75 Although no patients developed major bleeding despite being given anticoagulants, all patients had endoscopic clips applied to the polyp stalks, which likely minimized any bleeding.

Most studies have assessed an early and delayed interruption of warfarin on a surrogate outcome of bleeding, namely the INR at the time of surgery. In the nonperioperative setting, a prospective cohort provides indirect evidence that a 5-day period of warfarin interruption is sufficient to allow decay of the anticoagulant effect and a normalization or nearnormalization of the INR.⁷⁶ In the perioperative setting, a prospective cohort study assessed 224 patients in whom warfarin was stopped 5 days before surgery and had INR testing on the day before surgery.⁴⁶ This study found that only 15 patients (7%) had an INR > 1.5 on the day of surgery, thereby supporting a 5-day interruption period before surgery to allow normalization of the INR.⁴⁶ A retrospective case series that assessed delayed (2-3 days before procedure) interruption of warfarin did not allow sufficient time for normalization of the INR (mean INR at time of procedure, 1.8).68 Finally, in a randomized trial in which patients interrupted warfarin either 5 days or 1 day before surgery (with the latter group also receiving 1 mg vitamin K the day before surgery), the mean (95% CI) INR at the time of surgery was 1.24 (1.19-1.29) in the 5-day interruption group and 1.61 (1.50-1.71) in the 1-day interruption plus vitamin K group.⁷⁷ Our recommendation, therefore, is based on the assumption that at the time of surgery, an elevated INR (ie, ≥ 2.0) will increase bleeding and a normal or near-normal INR

(ie, < 1.5) will not increase bleeding, with the caveat that there may be selected (usually minor) procedures that can be safely performed in patients managed with anticoagulant therapy. Additional study in this area is needed to better inform risks for bleeding in patients who continue or interrupt warfarin therapy.

Recommendation

2.1. In patients who require temporary interruption of a VKA before surgery, we recommend stopping VKAs approximately 5 days before surgery <u>instead of</u> stopping VKAs a shorter time before surgery (Grade 1C).

2.2 Resumption of VKAs After Surgery

For most types of surgery, resumption of VKAs is feasible on the evening of or the day following surgery, when oral intake is permitted. One prospective cohort study of 650 patients who required VKA interruption before surgery and routinely resumed warfarin within 24 h after surgery (at the patients' usual dose), the mean \pm SD time to attaining an INR ≥ 2.0 was 5.1 ± 1.1 days.⁴⁵ Bleeding outcomes with this regimen were infrequent, irrespective of the use of LMWH bridging. Other prospective cohort studies support the feasibility and safety of early initiation of postoperative warfarin, 19,45,47 and one study supports resuming warfarin at a dose that is double the patients' usual dose for the first 2 postoperative days, with a mean duration to attain an INR \geq 2.0 of 4.6 days.⁴⁶

Recommendation

2.2. In patients who require temporary interruption of a VKA before surgery, we recommend resuming VKAs approximately 12 to 24 h after surgery (evening of or next morning) and when there is adequate hemostasis <u>instead of</u> later resumption of VKAs (Grade 2C).

2.3 Perioperative Laboratory Monitoring of VKA Therapy

Laboratory monitoring of VKA therapy with INR testing before surgery is intended to ensure normalization of the INR at surgery, which is important for patients who are receiving spinal/epidural anesthesia. No studies have assessed the effect of routine perioperative INR monitoring on health-care resource utilization, such as the need for blood products to reverse an excessive residual anticoagulant effect or deferral of surgery. Indirect evidence suggests that INR testing on the day before surgery will allow correction of elevated INRs with the potential to minimize blood product use or deferral of surgery.⁴⁶ In a cohort study in which INR was routinely measured the day before surgery, 7% of patients had an INR > 1.5, which were all corrected with low-dose (1 mg) oral vitamin K. The use of vitamin K in this setting is also supported by a cohort study of 43 patients who had an INR of 1.5 to 1.9 on the day before surgery; administering 1 mg po vitamin K resulted in 39 patients (91%) having a normal or near-normal INR (\leq 1.4) on the day of surgery, with no surgeries cancelled.⁵²

2.4 Need for Bridging Anticoagulation During Interruption of VKA Therapy

In patients with chronic atrial fibrillation, risk stratification for thrombotic events is based on the CHADS₂ score because it is validated in a nonperioperative setting, is widely used, and may be applicable in the perioperative setting^{2,25-27} (Table 1). In patients with a mechanical heart valve, the risk stratification is based on the position and type of valve. A high, moderate, or low risk for ATE and valve thrombosis refers to > 10%/y, 5% to 10%/y, and < 5%/yrisks, respectively, in the absence of anticoagulation^{21,22} (Table 1). Finally, in patients with VTE, the risk stratification primarily depends on the time interval from the index VTE event to the surgery, and the distinction among high-, intermediate-, and low-risk groups is largely arbitrary^{28-30,78} (Table 1). This classification scheme has not been prospectively validated because data are lacking on risks for thromboembolism in the perioperative period when patients have interruption of VKA therapy and do not receive heparin bridging. Until such data are available, clinicians should consider this classification as an initial guide for decision-making that should be adapted to individual patient circumstances.

In observational studies, patients at high risk for thromboembolism have typically received bridging with therapeutic-dose SC LMWH (Table S2). This bridging regimen was associated with a low (1%-2%)incidence of ATE.^{31,45-47,51,79-85} Fewer, typically older, studies assessed bridging therapy with IV UFH in high-risk patients.^{47,66,56} The incidence of thromboembolic events with IV UFH bridging was more varied, between 0% and 5%, in these studies. In one cohort study involving patients with a mechanical heart valve, there was no significant difference in thromboembolic and major bleeding between patients bridged with LMWH and those bridged with UFH.86 In one cohort study that included 79 patients with a mechanical heart valve who received intermediatedose bridging (ie, enoxaparin 40 mg bid), there were no perioperative ATE events.⁴⁹ As shown in the evidence profile (Table S3), there were no studies assessing thromboembolic risk in high-risk patients who had VKA interruption and did not receive any heparin bridging.

Prospective cohort studies with standardized bridging regimens,^{19,31,45,46,48,49,51,84} prospective registries,^{47,80,87} or retrospective case series^{81,82,88} have assessed moderate-risk patients who require VKA interruption. In some studies, particularly more recent ones, some patients within this risk stratum received no bridging, although it appears that many of these patients were at the lower end of the thromboembolic risk spectrum.^{31,87} Irrespective of the management strategy used, whether therapeutic-dose bridging, intermediate-dose bridging, or no bridging, the incidence of ATE events was low (\sim 1%).

The ideal strategy for patients at moderate risk for thromboembolism is unclear, and individual patient and surgery-related criteria need to be taken into consideration. Such uncertainty and variability in presumed thromboembolic risk precludes developing a specific management recommendation for this patient group. Patients groups within the moderate-risk stratum undergoing surgeries or procedures associated with a low risk for bleeding in whom bridging may be considered include the following:

- Patients with a mechanical bileaflet aortic valve and additional stroke risk factors comprising prior stroke or systemic embolism or transient ischemic attack, hypertension, diabetes, congestive heart failure, or age >75 years
- Patients with a trial fibrillation and a $\rm CHADS_2$ score of 3 or 4 or prior throm boembolism during interruption of warfarin
- Patients with VTE within the past 3 to 12 months, nonsevere thrombophilia, active cancer, and recurrent VTE

Patient groups within the moderate-risk stratum undergoing the following high-bleeding-risk procedures in whom no bridging therapy may be considered include the following:

- Major cardiac surgery
- Carotid endarterectomy surgery

In addition, clinicians may consider using lowdose LMWH or UFH for VKA-treated patients with prior VTE because low-dose anticoagulation reduces the incidence of postoperative VTE in nonbridging clinical settings.⁸⁹ For many patients whose indication for VKA therapy is prior VTE, low-dose LMWH or UFH is likely to achieve much of the benefit of therapeutic-dose anticoagulation while minimizing the risk of postoperative major bleeding, especially for patients undergoing major surgery. However, resumption of VKA therapy alone also may be considered as a method of prophylaxis against postoperative VTE.⁸⁹

Evidence profile: There were no studies to match the associated PICO question, namely, studies limited

to or specific for patients at moderate risk for thromboembolism (Table S3).

Several studies assessed thromboembolic and bleeding outcomes of LMWH bridging with either a therapeutic-dose^{19,45-47,80} or a low-dose^{48,49} regimen in patients with atrial fibrillation, a mechanical heart valve, or VTE. However, there are other prospective and retrospective cohort studies in which patients with atrial fibrillation or VTE did not receive bridging.^{31,87,88} One prospective study assessed a no-bridging approach in low-risk patients with bileaflet aortic mechanical heart valves and no other major cardiovascular risks.⁸⁵ The rate of ATE in low-risk patients who did not receive bridging appeared very low (<1%), thereby suggesting that bridging therapy may be unnecessary.

Recommendations

2.4. In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at high risk for thromboembolism, we suggest bridging anticoagulation *instead of* no bridging during interruption of VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

Remarks: Patients who place a higher value on avoiding perioperative bleeding than on avoiding perioperative thromboembolism are likely to decline heparin bridging.

In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at low risk for thromboembolism, we suggest no-bridging instead of bridging anticoagulation during interruption of VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

In patients with a mechanical heart valve, atrial fibrillation, or VTE at moderate risk for thromboembolism, the bridging or no-bridging approach chosen is, as in the higher- and lowerrisk patients, based on an assessment of individual patient- and surgery-related factors.

2.5 Perioperative Management of VKA-Treated Patients Who Require Minor Procedures

Approximately 15% to 20% of all VKA-treated patients who are assessed for perioperative anticoagulant management require minor dental, dermatologic, or ophthalmologic procedures.^{45,47} Because these procedures, in general, are associated with relatively little blood loss or self-limiting blood loss that is controlled with local hemostatic measures, this section focuses on whether VKA therapy can be safely continued in the periprocedural period. Although we indicate that these procedures are minor and bleeding that occurs may not result in serious bleeding, such occurrences, nevertheless, may be important to patients if it engenders anxiety and distress. For patients having dental, skin, or eye procedures that are associated with a higher risk for bleeding, such as reconstructive dental or plastic surgery or vitreoretinal surgery, in which interruption of VKA therapy is required, bridging anticoagulation can be considered (as with other major surgeries and procedures) but is addressed in section 4.0 of this article.

Minor dental procedures assessed include tooth extractions and endodontic (root canal) procedures (Table S4). Many randomized trials⁹⁰⁻⁹⁹ and prospective cohort studies¹⁰⁰⁻¹¹⁸ have assessed periprocedural anticoagulant management in VKA-treated patients undergoing minor dental procedures (Table S5). Despite the extent of study in this area, one problem in identifying a single optimal practice is that several different management strategies have been assessed, including continued VKA in the peridental period with or without coadministered prohemostatic interventions (eg, antifibrinolytic drugs, sutures), partial (2-3 days before procedure) VKA interruption, and complete (5-6 days before procedure) VKA interruption.

Among these management approaches, perhaps the most relevant question is whether VKAs can be safely continued in the peridental period, especially if an oral antifibrinolytic drug (tranexamic acid mouthwash) is coadministered. One randomized trial compared continuing VKA therapy with or without tranexamic acid;⁹⁷ other trials compared continuing VKAs with tranexamic acid against other strategies, such as interruption of VKAs 3 or 5 days before the procedure.^{90,92,95} Prospective cohort studies^{114,115,118} have assessed continuing VKAs with tranexamic acid. Taken together, these studies suggest that continuing VKAs with a prohemostatic agent is associated with a low (<5%) risk for clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding. If tranexamic acid is used, it is given as a 5-mL oral dose, 5 to 10 min before the dental procedure and 3 to 4 times daily for 1 to 2 days after the procedure. Another approach associated with a low risk for bleeding, based on findings from prospective cohort studies^{100,102,103,107} is partial interruption of VKA therapy for 2 to 3 days before a dental procedure, which would result in an INR of 1.6 to 1.9 on the day of the procedure.⁷⁶

Overall, the available studies suggest that two approaches—VKA continuation with a prohemostatic agent or partial (2-3 days) VKA interruption—confer a low risk for bleeding. Nonetheless, minor bleeding (or oozing from gingival mucosa) may be more common with these approaches than complete VKA interruption. Patients should be informed of this outcome and of the need to continue tranexamic acid use and to apply local pressure to stop such bleeding. Thromboembolic outcomes are rare (< 0.1%), although prior studies were underpowered to detect relative and absolute differences in thromboembolic events occurring in association with different interventions.

Minor dermatologic procedures assessed include excision of basal and squamous cell skin cancers, actinic keratoses, and premalignant or cancerous skin nevi.¹¹⁹ No randomized trials have assessed perioperative management. Prospective, controlled cohort studies noted a more than threefold higher incidence of nonmajor and minor bleeding in patients who continued VKA therapy compared with patients who had VKA interruption (Table S6).¹²⁰⁻¹²³ Most of these bleeding episodes reported were self-limiting. The incidence of major bleeding with periprocedural continuation of VKAs appears to be low (<5%), although these studies may have been underpowered to detect an increase in major bleeding.

Cataract extraction is largely an avascular procedure, and prospective cohort studies¹²⁴⁻¹³⁵ have reported an incidence of clinically important bleeding of < 3%(Table S7). In a meta-analysis of observational studies of VKA-treated patients undergoing cataract surgery, patients who continued VKA had an increased risk for bleeding (OR, 3.26; 95% CI, 1.73-6.16) with an overall incidence of bleeding of 10% (95% CI, 5-19).³⁵ Almost all bleeds were self-limiting, consisting of dot hyphemas or subconjunctival bleeds, and no patient had compromised visual acuity related to bleeding.

An important consideration is the safety of retrobulbar anesthesia in patients who are given anticoagulants and are also undergoing cataract surgery given the potential that without prompt orbital decompression, retrobulbar hematoma may lead to vision loss. The available data suggest that such bleeds are uncommon, occurring in fewer than one per 100 procedures,¹²⁶ irrespective of whether a patient is receiving a VKA. An alternative approach that also appears safe during VKA therapy is a phacoemulsification technique under topical anesthesia.¹³⁵

Recommendation

2.5. In patients who require a minor dental procedure, we suggest continuing VKAs with coadministration of an oral prohemostatic agent or stopping VKAs 2 to 3 days before the procedure *instead of* alternative strategies (Grade 2C). In patients who require minor dermatologic procedures and are receiving VKA therapy, we suggest continuing VKAs around the time of the procedure and optimizing local hemostasis *instead of* other strategies (Grade 2C). In patients who require cataract surgery and are receiving VKA therapy, we suggest continuing VKAs around the time of the surgery *instead of* other strategies (Grade 2C).

3.0 Perioperative Management of Patients Who Are Receiving Antiplatelet Drugs

3.1 Interruption of Antiplatelet Drugs Before Surgery

Antiplatelet drugs that irreversibly inhibit platelet function—making their short half-lives clinically irrelevant—include ASA, clopidogrel, ticlopidine, and prasugrel.¹³⁶ For each day after interruption of any of these agents, $\sim 10\%$ to 14% of normal platelet function is restored; later, it takes 7 to 10 days for an entire platelet pool to be replenished.^{137,138}

Antiplatelet drugs that reversibly inhibit platelet function, with self-limiting effects depending on their elimination half-lives, include dipyridamole, cilostazol, and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Dipyridamole, a pyridopyrimidine derivative with antiplatelet and vasodilator properties, has a halflife of 10 h, but because it is typically combined with ASA, this nullifies its reversible antiplatelet effect.¹³⁹ Cilostazol, a phosphodiesterase inhibitor with antiplatelet and vasodilator properties, has a half-life of 10 h.¹⁴⁰ Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs have half-lives that vary from 2 to 6 h (ibuprofen, ketoprofen, indomethacin), to 7 to 15 h (celecoxib, naproxen, diflunisal), to > 20 h (meloxicam, nabumetone, piroxicam).¹⁴¹

No randomized trials have assessed the optimal timing of antiplatelet drug interruption before surgery, in particular, whether stopping 7 to 10 days before surgery (to allow complete elimination of the antiplatelet effect) or closer to surgery affects bleeding and thromboembolic outcomes. Cohort studies involving patients who were receiving a VKA combined with ASA typically stopped ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery, although many of these patients also received LMWH bridging during VKA interruption.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

3.2 Resumption of Antiplatelet Drugs After Surgery

When resuming ASA, the maximal antiplatelet effect occurs within minutes, whereas with resumption of clopidogrel at a maintenance dose (75 mg/d), it takes 5 to 10 days to attain maximal platelet function inhibition.¹⁴² With a clopidogrel loading dose (300-600 mg/d), maximal platelet function inhibition is attained within 12 to 15 h after administration.^{143,144} Cohort studies involving patients who were receiving a VKA and ASA typically resumed ASA at the same time as the VKA, which was within 24 h after surgery in most patients.^{45,47}

3.3 Laboratory Monitoring of Antiplatelet Therapy

Several platelet function assays are available to measure the antiplatelet effect of ASA and clopidogrel and have been assessed primarily in patients having cardiac surgery or percutaneous coronary interventions.^{145,146} However, the clinical significance of assay findings is uncertain, and the assay results have not been shown to predict clinical outcomes.^{147,148} Additional study is needed, especially in patients having noncardiac surgery, before these assays can be considered for use in clinical practice.

3.4 Patients Having a Minor Dental, Dermatologic, or Ophthalmologic Procedure

In patients having dental procedures, several small studies (<100 patients) comprising randomized trials¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵¹ and cohort studies^{118,152,153} suggested no increase in major bleeding with ASA continuation. Only one 43-patient retrospective cohort study assessed the safety of dental procedures in 29 patients receiving combined ASA and clopidogrel and found no bleeding episodes with continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy.¹⁵¹

In patients having minor skin procedures, several prospective cohort studies, including ~ 200 patients, suggested a very-low (<1%) risk for major bleeding with continuation of ASA.^{121,122,154,155} These studies also suggested an increase in minor bleeding with ASA continuation compared with controls who were not receiving antithrombotic therapy, but the incidence rates varied widely (2%-51%), likely reflecting different thresholds for defining minor bleeding. Nonetheless, these findings are consistent with those in patients who continued VKAs around minor skin procedures and indicate the need for adequate attention to postprocedure hemostasis.

Among patients undergoing cataract surgery, prospective cohort studies have suggested a low (<1%) incidence of major bleeding with perioperative continuation of ASA.^{126,127,156} The incidence of cardiovascular events in one cohort study was similar in patients who continued or interrupted ASA (0.20% vs 0.65%), although a distinction was not made according to patient clinical indication for ASA therapy.¹²⁶ No studies assessed the management of patients who receive clopidogrel alone and require minor dental, skin, or eye procedures.

Recommendation

3.4. In patients who are receiving ASA for the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease and are having minor dental or dermatologic procedures or cataract surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of the procedure *instead of* stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before the procedure (Grade 2C).

3.5 Patients Having Noncardiac Surgery

Several studies assessed the effects of ASA continuation or interruption before surgery (Table S8). Indirect evidence is available from a 19,000-patient placebo-controlled trial (Pulmonary Embolism Prevention trial) that compared de novo low-dose ASA use started before hip fracture repair or joint replacement surgery and continued for 35 days after surgery.¹⁵⁷ ASA use was associated with a possible increase in clinically recognized myocardial infarction (risk ratio, 1.57; 95% CI, 0.93-2.65) but failed to demonstrate a reduction or increase in stroke (risk ratio, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.69-1.85). Although this study was done in the pretroponin era, effects of ASA therapy on clinically silent myocardial ischemia or stroke cannot be excluded. Furthermore, patients were not limited to those at risk for acute coronary events because the trial was intended to determine the effect of ASA on postoperative prevention of VTE. Perioperative ASA use conferred a small increase in the risk for major bleeding (2.9% vs 2.4%, P = .04) and, indeed, decreased the risk for postoperative VTE (risk ratio, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.54-0.94).

A small randomized trial is unique in that it involved patients at high risk for cardiovascular events who were undergoing noncardiac surgery and who started ASA (75 mg once daily) or placebo 7 days before surgery and continued it for 30 days after surgery.¹⁵⁸ This study found that perioperative ASA use conferred a significant reduction in myocardial infarction and other major cardiovascular events (1.8% vs 9.0%, P = .02), but the study was underpowered to detect differences in bleeding outcomes.¹⁵⁸ A meta-analysis of >49,000 patients who had noncardiac surgery, of whom 15,000 were receiving longterm ASA prior to surgery, found that perioperative continuation of ASA increased the overall risk for bleeding (risk ratio, 1.5; interquartile range, 1.0-2.5) but did not increase bleeding requiring a medical intervention.¹⁵⁹ However, patients requiring intracranial or prostate surgery did have an increase in bleeding with perioperative ASA continuation, and in such patients (and others deemed at high risk for bleeding), perioperative continuation of ASA should be considered with caution. This meta-analysis did not report on cardiovascular outcomes. Taken together, these data suggest that patients with coronary artery or other cardiovascular disease, who may be considered at moderate to high risk for perioperative adverse cardiovascular events,^{160,161} may benefit from perioperative continuation of ASA. Such moderateto high-risk patients include those with ischemic heart disease, compensated or prior congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, renal insufficiency, or cerebrovascular disease. In addition, patients undergoing selected types of surgery associated with an increased risk for perioperative cardiovascular events, such as carotid endarterectomy and peripheral artery

bypass surgery, may also benefit from perioperative continuation of ASA.¹⁶²⁻¹⁶⁴ In patients considered at low risk for cardiovascular events in whom there is likely to be fewer potential benefits of perioperative continuation of ASA, interruption of ASA may be reasonable.

Few studies assessed perioperative continuation of clopidogrel. These studies, all of which were retrospective cohort analyses, suggested increased rates of bleeding with perioperative or periprocedural clopidogrel continuation.¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁷ Indirect data from a linked database involving patients in the nonperioperative setting indicate that clopidogrel-only users are at 33% higher risk for bleeding than ASA-only users (risk ratio, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.11-1.59),¹⁶⁸ thereby implying that the antiplatelet potency of clopidogrel is greater than that of ASA. This finding appears to support anecdotal observations of clinicians (including the article panelists) involved in perioperative antithrombotic management because there appears to be a higher bleeding tendency in patients continuing clopidogrel than in those continuing ASA perioperatively. Although clopidogrel appears to confer less GI bleeding than ASA in the nonperioperative setting,¹⁶⁹ this decreased tendency for bleeding may be related to less GI irritation with clopidogrel and may not be applicable to the perioperative setting.

Recommendation

3.5. In patients at moderate to high risk for cardiovascular events who are receiving ASA therapy and require noncardiac surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of surgery *instead of* stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C). In patients at low risk for cardiovascular events who are receiving ASA therapy, we suggest stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery *instead of* continuation of ASA (Grade 2C).

3.6 Patients Having Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery

Most patients who require a coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) are receiving long-term ASA therapy. In addition, 10% to 15% of patients who have had an acute coronary syndrome will require urgent CABG surgery and are, typically, receiving both ASA and clopidogrel/prasugrel.¹⁷⁰ The issue of whether antiplatelet drugs should be continued or stopped is important because of the need to minimize perioperative bleeding, especially mediastinal bleeding that can cause pericardial tamponade. In a > 11,000subject cohort study of patients undergoing CABG, packed red cell transfusion (which occurred in 49% of patients) conferred an increased risk for mortality (risk ratio, 1.77; 95% CI, 1.67-1.87) as well as renal failure and neurological events.¹⁷¹ Other observational studies have shown an increased risk for bleeding with perioperative ASA use in patients having CABG.^{172,173} A meta-analysis of studies assessing perioperative continuation of ASA confirmed an increase in postoperative bleeding (as measured by chest tube drainage) but no increase in the need for reoperation.¹⁷⁴ Against these potential bleeding risks, ASA use within 5 days prior to CABG surgery was associated in a > 8,000-patient cohort study to reduce overall mortality without a concomitant increased risk for reoperation for pericardial bleeding or need for blood transfusion.⁴³ Other smaller observational studies have also found a reduction in cardiovascular events and overall mortality in patients who continue ASA prior to CABG surgery.^{175,176} Taken together, it appears that although ASA increases the risk for postoperative bleeding, there is no increased risk for reoperation, which is coupled with the potential for decreased cardiovascular events and overall mortality. However, given the uncertainty in the relative risks and benefits of perioperative ASA continuation, clinicians may individualize patient management; for example, it may be reasonable to stop ASA in patients with stable coronary artery disease who require CABG.

With the common use of thienopyridine derivatives, such as clopidogrel, in patients who require CABG, the issue of how to manage ASA and clopidogrel around the time of CABG surgery is clinically relevant. Subgroup analyses of large trials involving patients with an acute coronary syndrome who were receiving dual antiplatelet therapy (ASA and clopidogrel) and who subsequently needed CABG found a 50% higher incidence in major bleeding and a 70% higher incidence of transfusion requirements in those who received clopidogrel within the 5-day period before CABG, but this risk appears to be minimized if clopidogrel is stopped at least 5 days before CABG surgery.^{177,178} Observational studies also found increased bleeding in patients exposed to clopidogrel within 5 days of CABG surgery.¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸¹

For patients who are receiving dual ASA-clopidogrel therapy in whom urgent CABG surgery is required and a 5-day or longer delay after clopidogrel interruption is not feasible, a probable increase in bleeding risk should be anticipated. Options to reduce bleeding transfusion requirements include preoperative platelet transfusion and administering antifibrinolytic drugs such as tranexamic acid or ε -aminocaproic acid^{182,183} but not aprotinin,¹⁸⁴ which confers an increased risk for thrombotic and other adverse events.

Recommendation

3.6. In patients who are receiving ASA and require CABG surgery, we suggest continuing

ASA around the time of surgery <u>instead of</u> stopping ASA 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C). In patients who are receiving dual antiplatelet drug therapy and require CABG surgery, we suggest continuing ASA around the time of surgery and stopping clopidogrel/prasugrel 5 days before surgery <u>instead of</u> continuing dual antiplatelet therapy around the time of surgery (Grade 2C).

3.7 Patients With Coronary Stents Having Surgery

The management of patients with coronary stents who require surgery is a common and challenging clinical problem. One retrospective-linked database study of 17,797 stented patients found that 11% of patients required surgery during a 2-year period after stent placement and that 4% required surgery within 1 year of stent placement.¹⁸⁵

Patient management is problematic because of concerns about the incidence and clinical consequences of stent-related coronary thrombosis if antiplatelet therapy is interrupted. In the nonperioperative setting, observational studies and case reports of suboptimal methodological quality have suggested a markedly increased risk for stent thrombosis after premature antiplatelet drug interruption and after temporary interruption of treatment because of surgery.¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁹¹ In several retrospective studies totaling > 2,200 patients who had surgery within 2 years of stent placement, the incidence of postoperative stent thrombosis was, on average, between 2% and 5%.¹⁹²⁻¹⁹⁷ Moreover, these studies suggested that the clinical impact of stent thrombosis and associated coronary events is considerable, with casefatality rates of \geq 50%.

Despite concerns about the extent and severity of perioperative thrombotic events in patients with coronary stents, there are no randomized trials comparing different perioperative management strategies. Furthermore, in observational studies of stented patients who had surgery, outcome events generally were not reported according to a prespecified intervention, such as whether patients had perioperative interruption of dual antiplatelet therapy (ASA and clopidogrel/prasugrel), interruption of a single agent, or continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy. Consequently, conclusions are limited about optimal perioperative management.

Indirect evidence from the nonperioperative clinical setting indicates that premature discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy, within 6 weeks of bare-metal stent placement or within 3 to 6 months of drug-eluting stent placement, increases the risk for stent thrombosis and appears to be the strongest predictor of stent thrombosis.¹⁹⁸ On the other hand, in patients who require CABG, perioperative continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy confers an increased risk for bleeding, which includes lifethreatening pericardial tamponade. What is unclear is whether there is a similarly increased risk for serious bleeding with perioperative continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients having noncardiac surgery and procedures. Overall, there is substantial uncertainty about whether the potential benefits of continuing dual antiplatelet therapy outweigh the likely increased risk for bleeding.

The role of bridging therapy in patients with coronary stents who require elective surgery is uncertain. Only a few case reports assessed the use of shortacting antithrombotic drugs such as UFH, LMWHs, or glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists (eg, tirofiban, eptifibatide) in the perioperative setting.¹⁹⁹⁻²⁰¹ Reversible platelet P2-receptor inhibitors, such as ticagrelor, may play a role as bridging therapy in this clinical setting. Studies are needed to assess the role of bridging therapy, if any, in patients who are receiving antiplatelet drugs. Until such data are available, the use of short-acting antithrombotic agents in patients who require temporary interruption of antiplatelet therapy is inadvisable.

Recommendation

3.7. In patients with a coronary stent who are receiving dual antiplatelet therapy and require surgery, we recommend deferring surgery for at least 6 weeks after placement of a bare-metal stent and for at least 6 months after placement of a drug-eluting stent *instead of* undertaking surgery within these time periods (Grade 1C). In patients who require surgery within 6 weeks of placement of a bare-metal stent or within 6 months of placement of a drug-eluting stent, we suggest continuing dual antiplatelet therapy around the time of surgery *instead of* stopping dual antiplatelet therapy 7 to 10 days before surgery (Grade 2C).

Remarks: Patients who are more concerned about avoiding the unknown, but potentially large increase in bleeding risk associated with the perioperative continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy than avoiding the risk for coronary stent thrombosis are unlikely to choose continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy.

4.0 Perioperative Management of Patients Who Are Receiving Heparin Bridging Anticoagulation

4.1 Anticoagulants and Anticoagulant Dose Regimens Considered for Bridging

There is no established single heparin bridging regimen. Variability exists in the type of anticoagulant (LMWH or UFH), intensity of anticoagulation (therapeutic dose, low dose, or intermediate dose), and timing of perioperative administration. In considering which regimen and administration approach to use, there are several points to consider:

- Anticoagulant intensity to prevent thromboem*bolism*. In the absence of randomized trials assessing the efficacy of different intensities of bridging regimens to prevent ATE, including stroke, we have used indirect data. First, although evidence is lacking that LMWHs prevent recurrent stroke, indirect data from a large trial investigating idraparinux (a synthetic factor Xa inhibitor with similar anticoagulant properties as LWMHs) found it to be as effective as warfarin for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation, thereby supporting the use of therapeutic-dose LMWH for perioperative stroke prevention.¹⁴ Second, although low-dose LMWH or UFH is effective to prevent VTE after surgery,^{15,89} evidence is lacking about whether such low-dose heparin regimens are effective in preventing ATE, including stroke. Lower-dose VKA regimens (target INR < 2.0), which might be considered comparable to lowdose heparin regimens, are less effective for stroke prevention.²⁰²
- Proximity to surgery of anticoagulant administration and risk for bleeding. From randomized trials assessing different anticoagulant regimens for postoperative VTE prophylaxis, there is consistent evidence that the closer to surgery an anticoagulant is given, the higher the risk for bleeding.^{203,204} Thus, bleeding is greater when a first dose is given early (4-8 h postoperatively), lower when given at an intermediate interval (12-24 h postoperatively), and lowest when administration is delayed for > 24 h postoperatively. Clinicians should assess postoperative hemostasis and determine whether wound-site hemostasis has occurred and the surgical bed is dry. Although subjective, this can be aided by assessing the amount, type (serous, serosanguinous, bloody), and progress (continuing, increasing, decreasing) of drainage and blood collection in wound bandages or surgical drains. Heparin bridging, especially a therapeutic-dose regimen, should be delayed after surgery until there is adequate hemostasis.
- Dose of anticoagulant administration and risk for bleeding. One observational study suggested that use of a higher-intensity therapeuticdose bridging regimen may be associated with a more than fourfold risk (OR, 4.4; 95% CI, 1.5-14.7) for postoperative major bleeding com-

pared with a low-dose regimen.⁵¹ This finding is consistent with studies assessing the intensity of anticoagulant therapy for the secondary prevention of VTE and stroke.²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁷ Other observational studies suggested that a once-daily therapeutic-dose bridging regimen may be associated with a higher risk for bleeding than a bid (split-dose) therapeutic-dose regimen, but direct comparisons of once-daily vs bid heparin bridging regimens have precluded definitive conclusions.^{19,45-47} Taken together, these findings suggest caution in using higher-intensity therapeutic-dose heparin bridging after surgery, especially in patients undergoing high-bleedingrisk surgery and procedures.

Based on these considerations, we suggest the following two guides for postoperative management of bridging anticoagulation:

- 1. LMWH or UFH should not be resumed at a fixed time after a surgery or procedure without consideration of the anticipated bleeding risk or adequacy of postoperative hemostasis.
- 2. If therapeutic-dose bridging is used in patients at high risk for postoperative bleeding, its initiation should be delayed for 48 to 72 h after surgery when adequate surgical hemostasis has been achieved. If bleeding continues beyond 72 h, options include a low-dose heparin bridging regimen or VKA resumption alone without postoperative bridging.

4.2 Perioperative Use of IV UFH

IV UFH administered to achieve an aPTT of 1.5 to 2.0 times the control aPTT is an option for perioperative bridging. UFH may be of particular use in, for example, patients with severe renal insufficiency or dependency on dialysis in whom LMWHs should be avoided. No studies have assessed the timing of interruption of IV UFH. However, the dosedependent elimination half-life of 90 min (range, 30-120 min) suggests that an infusion can be stopped 4 to 6 h before surgery.²⁰⁸ Resumption of IV UFH after surgery should follow the approach used for SC LMWH, with IV UFH resumed without a bolus dose at the same infusion rate as that used preoperatively.^{66,209} Bridging anticoagulation with UFH may be considered for out-of-hospital administration using a fixed-dose, weight-based, SC regimen (250 International Units/kg bid) that does not require aPTT monitoring.²¹⁰

Recommendation

4.2. In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose IV UFH, we

suggest stopping UFH 4 to 6 h before surgery *instead of closer to surgery* (Grade 2C).

4.3 Preoperative Interruption of Therapeutic-Dose Bridging LMWH

In observational studies assessing LMWH bridging, there were no apparent higher bleeding rates (compared with nonbridged controls from other studies) if the last dose of LMWH was given ~ 12 h (ie, the evening) before surgery or ~ 24 h before surgery.^{45,46} However, in studies assessing a surrogate marker for bleeding (antifactor Xa levels), >90% of patients who received their last LMWH dose ~ 12 h before surgery had a detectable anticoagulant effect at surgery, with 34% of patients having a therapeutic level of anticoagulation (ie, antifactor Xa ≥ 0.50 International Units/mL) at surgery.^{211,212} Although these studies could not assess the effect of residual anticoagulation on bleeding outcomes, clinicians should consider withholding the last LMWH dose before surgery when a bid regimen is used and giving half the total dose the morning before surgery when a once-daily regimen is used to minimize the residual anticoagulant effect at the time of surgery.

Recommendation

4.3. In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose SC LMWH, we suggest administering the last preoperative dose of LMWH approximately 24 h before surgery *instead of* 12 h before surgery (Grade 2C).

4.4 Postoperative Resumption of Therapeutic-Dose Bridging LMWH

An observational study in which all patients received LMWH (1.5 mg/kg) started 12 to 24 h after all types of surgery, patients who had major (>1 hduration) surgery had a 20% (8/40) incidence of major bleeding, whereas major bleeding occurred in 0.7% (1/148) in patients who had a minor (<1 h duration) surgery or procedure.¹⁹ In other observational studies assessing therapeutic-dose LMWH bridging, an indirect, across-study comparison yielded an apparent lower risk for nonmajor bleeding with delayed resumption of LMWH. Other observational studies that allowed a flexible postoperative bridging regimen in high-bleeding-risk patients with either delayed resumption of therapeutic-dose LMWH or substitution of a low-dose regimen found a low incidence of major bleeding (< 5%).^{31,47-49,51,85,213,214} Taken together, these studies suggest that the resumption of LMWH should be delayed for at least 24 h and probably longer (48-72 h) in patients undergoing major surgery, with resumption contingent on clinical evidence of surgical-site hemostasis. In studies that assessed moderate- or low-bleeding-risk patients who received therapeutic-dose LMWH started approximately 24 h after surgery (on the morning of the day after surgery), the incidence of major and nonmajor bleeding was low (< 3%).⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

Recommendation

4.4. In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose SC LMWH and are undergoing high-bleeding-risk surgery, we suggest resuming therapeutic-dose LMWH 48 to 72 h after surgery <u>instead of</u> resuming LMWH within 24 h after surgery (Grade 2C). In patients who are receiving bridging anticoagulation with therapeutic-dose SC LMWH and are undergoing non-high-bleeding-risk surgery, we suggest resuming therapeutic-dose LMWH approximately 24 h after surgery <u>instead of</u> resuming LMWH more than 24 h after surgery.

5.0 Research Recommendations

Additional research is necessary to establish best practices for patients who are receiving antithrombotic therapy and require surgery. Efforts to bridge these gaps in knowledge are ongoing. The randomized placebo-controlled trials PERIOP-2 (A Safety and Effectiveness Study of LMWH Bridging Therapy Versus Placebo Bridging Therapy for Patients on Long Term Warfarin and Require Temporary Interruption of Their Warfarin),²¹⁵ BRIDGE (Effectiveness of Bridging Anticoagulation for Surgery),²¹⁶ PACEBRIDGE (Preoperative Low Molecular Weight Heparin vs Tapered Warfarin as Bridging Therapy for patients with Implantation of Pacemaker or Defibrillator), and BRUISECONTROL (Bridge or Continue Coumadin for Device Surgery Randomized Controlled Trial)217 are assessing the need for LMWH bridging in VKA-treated patients. POISE-2 (PeriOperative Ischemic Evaluation-2 Trial)²¹⁸ is a placebo-controlled trial assessing the perioperative continuation or interruption of ASA in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery, and ATACAS (Aspirin and Tranexamic Acid for Coronary Artery Surgery Trial) (ACTR [Australian Clinical Trials Registry] No. 12605000557639) is assessing perioperative use of ASA in patients undergoing CABG surgery.²¹⁹ Large observational studies are planned to assess best perioperative practices in patients who are receiving new oral anticoagulants, such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban, and new antiplatelet drugs, such as prasugrel and ticagrelor. Additional research is needed to inform best perioperative practices in special populations (obese, renal insufficiency) and, especially, in patients with coronary stents.

www.chestpubs.org

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e343S

Acknowledgments

Author contributions: As Topic Editor, Dr Kunz oversaw the development of this article, including the data analysis and subsequent development of the recommendations contained herein. *Dr Douketis:* contributed as Deputy Editor for this topic.

Dr Spyropoulos: contributed as a panelist.

- Dr Spencer: contributed as a panelist.
- Dr Mayr: contributed as a frontline clinician.
- Dr Jaffer: contributed as a panelist.
- Dr Eckman: contributed as a resource consultant.
- Dr Dunn: contributed as a panelist.
- Dr Kunz: contributed as Topic Editor for this section.

Financial/nonfinancial disclosures: The authors of this guideline provided detailed conflict of interest information related to each individual recommendation made in this article. A grid of these disclosures is available online at http://chestjournal. chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e326S/suppl/DC1. In summary, the authors have reported to CHEST the following conflicts of interest: Dr Douketis was a consultant for Boerhinger-Ingelheim and served as a consultant during four advisory board meetings meetings (by Sanofi-Aventis, Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer) relating to the development and clinical use of novel, but not approved for clinical use, antiplatelet drugs (ticagrelor) and anticoagulant drugs (apixaban, semuloparin, dabigatran). Dr Eckman has received the following university grants: "Using Decision Analytic Modeling to Guide the ACCP Guideline Development Process for Antithrombotic Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation" (Foundation for Informed Medical Decision Making; October 2011-September 2013; \$185,000); "Cost-Effectiveness of Screening for Chronic Hepatitis C Infection" (Merck/Schering-Plough; October 2011-September 2012; \$58,000); "Greater Cincinnati BEACON Collaborative" (Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology [90BC0016/01]; September 2010-March 2012; $\sim 15\%$ effort); "Cincinnati Center for Clinical and Translational Science and Training (CTSA) ARRA Supplement for Development of Distance Learning Program in Medical Informatics" (National Institutes of Health [NIH]/National Center for Research Resources [NCRR] [UL1 RR026314-01S1]; August 2009-August 2011; \sim 20% effort); "Cincinnati Center for Clinical and Translational Science and Training (CTSA)" (NIH/NCRR [1U54 RR 025216]; January 2009-February 2014; ~15% effort); ^{*}A Patient Specific Decision Support Tool for Bariatric Surgery^{*} (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [K23 DK075599]; August 2007-June 2012; no financial support); National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K23 HL085387; June 2008-March 2013; no financial support); and "Cost-Effectiveness of Screening for Chronic Hepatitis B Infection" (Gilead Sciences Inc; March 2008-August 2010; \sim \$56,000). He has also served as consultant for Savient Pharmaceuticals ("Cost Effectiveness Analysis of Gout Medication"; 2010; \sim \$300) and as editorial consultant for the ACP ("Physicians' Information and Education Resource [PIER]: Module on Pre-Operative Assessment for Bleeding Disorders"; 2006-present; \sim \$250/year). Dr Spyropoulos has served as a consultant to Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, and EISAI. Dr Jaffer served as a consultant to sanofi-aventis, Janssen, Canyon Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Daiichi Sankyo; he has formerly spoken on behalf of sanofi-aventis. Dr Jaffer is also on the steering committee of an NHLBI clinical trial. Dr Kunz is a member of the GRADE Working Group, the methodology of which is used in these guidelines. She has an interest in seeing this methodology applied. Drs Spencer, Mayr, and Dunn have reported that no potential conflicts of interest exist with any companies/organi-zations whose products or services may be discussed in this article.

Role of sponsors: The sponsors played no role in the development of these guidelines. Sponsoring organizations cannot recommend panelists or topics, nor are they allowed prepublication access to the manuscripts and recommendations. Guideline panel members, including the chair, and members of the Health & Science Policy Committee are blinded to the funding sources. Further details on the Conflict of Interest Policy are available online at http://chestnet.org.

Endorsements: This guideline is endorsed by the American Association for Clinical Chemistry, the American College of Clinical Pharmacy, the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists,

the American Society of Hematology, and the International Society of Thrombosis and Hematosis.

Other contributions: Deborah Siegal, MD, contributed to the generation of the evidence profiles for the recommendations 2.4 and 4.2-4.4.

Additional information: The Appendix S1 and supplement Tables can be found in the Online Data Supplement at http:// chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e326S/suppl/DC1.

References

- Anter E, Jessup M, Callans DJ. Atrial fibrillation and heart failure: treatment considerations for a dual epidemic. *Circulation*. 2009;119(18):2516-2525.
- Go AS, Hylek EM, Borowsky LH, Phillips KA, Selby JV, Singer DE. Warfarin use among ambulatory patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: the anticoagulation and risk factors in atrial fibrillation (ATRIA) study. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;131(12):927-934.
- Kaatz S, Douketis JD, Zhou H, Gage BF, White RH. Risk of stroke after surgery in patients with and without chronic atrial fibrillation. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2010;8(5):884-890.
- 4. Ansell JE. The perioperative management of warfarin therapy. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163(8):881-883.
- Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC. Vitamin K to reverse the anticoagulant effect of vitamin K antagonists: can you teach an old dog new tricks? *J Thromb Haemost.* 2010;8(3):496-498.
- Douketis JD, Berger PB, Dunn AS, et al; American College of Chest Physicians. The perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (8th edition). *Chest.* 2008;133(suppl 6):299S-339S.
- Eckman MH. "Bridging on the river Kwai": the perioperative management of anticoagulation therapy. *Med Decis Making*. 2005;25(4):370-373.
- Ickx BE, Steib A. Perioperative management of patients receiving vitamin K antagonists. *Can J Anaesth.* 2006; 53(suppl 6):S113-S122.
- Falck-Ytter Y, Francis CW, Johanson NA, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e278S-e325S.
- Spyropoulos AC, Bauersachs RM, Omran H, Cohen M. Periprocedural bridging therapy in patients receiving chronic oral anticoagulation therapy. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2006;22(6):1109-1122.
- 11. Douketis JD. Perioperative anticoagulation management in patients who are receiving oral anticoagulant therapy: a practical guide for clinicians. *Thromb Res.* 2002;108(1):3-13.
- 12. Lee AY, Levine MN, Baker RI, et al; Randomized Comparison of Low-Molecular-Weight Heparin versus Oral Anticoagulant Therapy for the Prevention of Recurrent Venous Thromboembolism in Patients with Cancer (CLOT) Investigators. Low-molecular-weight heparin versus a coumarin for the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003; 349(2):146-153.
- Ginsberg JS, Chan WS, Bates SM, Kaatz S. Anticoagulation of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163(6):694-698.
- 14. Bousser MG, Bouthier J, Büller HR, et al; Amadeus Investigators. Comparison of idraparinux with vitamin K antagonists for prevention of thromboembolism in patients with atrial fibrillation: a randomised, open-label, noninferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9609):315-321.
- 15. Geerts WH, Pineo GF, Heit JA, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: the Seventh ACCP Conference

on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy. *Chest.* 2004;126(suppl 3):338S-400S.

- Ansell J, Hirsh J, Poller L, Bussey H, Jacobson A, Hylek E. The pharmacology and management of the vitamin K antagonists: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy [published correction appears in *Chest.* 2005:127(1):415-416. Dosage error in article text.]. *Chest.* 2004;126(suppl 3):204S-233S.
- Douketis JD, Bakhsh E. Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy. *Pol Arch Med Wewn*. 2008;118(4):201-208.
- Spyropoulos AC. Bridging of oral anticoagulation therapy for invasive procedures. Curr Hematol Rep. 2005;4(5):405-413.
- Dunn A. Perioperative management of oral anticoagulation: when and how to bridge. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2006;21(1):85-89.
- Jaffer AK, Brotman DJ, Chukwumerije N. When patients on warfarin need surgery. *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2003;70(11):973-984.
- Cannegieter SC, Rosendaal F, Briët I. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications in patients with medical mechanical heart valve prostheses. *Circulation*. 1994;94(2):635-641.
- Hering D, Piper C, Bergemann R, et al. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications following St. Jude Medical valve replacement: results of the German Experience With Low-Intensity Anticoagulation Study. *Chest.* 2005;127(1):53-59.
- Tominaga R, Kurisu K, Ochiai Y, et al. A 10-year experience with the Carbomedics cardiac prosthesis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79(3):784-789.
- Hart RG, Benavente O, McBride R, Pearce LA. Antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis [see comment]. Ann Intern Med. 1999;131(7):492-501.
- 25. Pearce LA, Hart RG, Halperin JL. Assessment of three schemes for stratifying stroke risk in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Am J Med.* 2000;109(1):45-51.
- Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, Boechler M, Rich MW, Radford MJ. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA*. 2001;285(22):2864-2870.
- Gage BF, van Walraven C, Pearce L, et al. Selecting patients with atrial fibrillation for anticoagulation: stroke risk stratification in patients taking aspirin. *Circulation*. 2004;110(16):2287-2292.
- Douketis JD, Foster GA, Crowther MA, Prins MH, Ginsberg JS. Clinical risk factors and timing of recurrent venous thromboembolism during the initial 3 months of anticoagulant therapy. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160(22):3431-3436.
- Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Deep vein thrombosis. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9465):1163-1174.
- White RH. The epidemiology of venous thromboembolism. Circulation. 2003;107(23)(suppl 1):I4-I8.
- Wysokinski WE, McBane RD, Daniels PR, et al. Periprocedural anticoagulation management of patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2008;83(6):639-645.
- Nielsen JD, Gram J, Holm-Nielsen A, Fabrin K, Jespersen J. Post-operative blood loss after transurethral prostatectomy is dependent on in situ fibrinolysis. *Br J Urol.* 1997;80(6): 889-893.
- Watson CJ, Deane AM, Doyle PT, Bullock KN. Identifiable factors in post-prostatectomy haemorrhage: the role of aspirin. Br J Urol. 1990;66(1):85-87.
- Ihezue CU, Smart J, Dewbury KC, Mehta R, Burgess L. Biopsy of the prostate guided by transrectal ultrasound: relation between warfarin use and incidence of bleeding complications. *Clin Radiol.* 2005;60(4):459-463, discussion 457-458.
- Jamula E, Anderson J, Douketis JD. Safety of continuing warfarin therapy during cataract surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thromb Res.* 2009;124(3):292-299.

www.chestpubs.org

- 36. Tischenko A, Gula LJ, Yee R, Klein GJ, Skanes AC, Krahn AD. Implantation of cardiac rhythm devices without interruption of oral anticoagulation compared with perioperative bridging with low-molecular weight heparin. *Am Heart J.* 2009;158(2):252-256.
- Chow V, Ranasinghe I, Lau J, et al. Peri-procedural anticoagulation and the incidence of haematoma formation after permanent pacemaker implantation in the elderly. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2010;19(12):706-712.
- Robinson M, Healey JS, Eikelboom J, et al. Postoperative low-molecular-weight heparin bridging is associated with an increase in wound hematoma following surgery for pacemakers and implantable defibrillators. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2009;32(3):378-382.
- Sorbi D, Norton I, Conio M, Balm R, Zinsmeister A, Gostout CJ. Postpolypectomy lower GI bleeding: descriptive analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2000;51(6):690-696.
- Patterson BM, Marchand R, Ranawat C. Complications of heparin therapy after total joint arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1989;71(8):1130-1134.
- Hoy E, Granick M, Benevenia J, Patterson F, Datiashvili R, Bille B. Reconstruction of musculoskeletal defects following oncologic resection in 76 patients. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2006;57(2):190-194.
- 42. Jones HU, Muhlestein JB, Jones KW, et al. Preoperative use of enoxaparin compared with unfractionated heparin increases the incidence of re-exploration for postoperative bleeding after open-heart surgery in patients who present with an acute coronary syndrome: clinical investigation and reports. *Circulation*. 2002;106(12)(suppl 1):I19-I22.
- Mangano DT; Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. Aspirin and mortality from coronary bypass surgery. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(17):1309-1317.
- Lazio BE, Simard JM. Anticoagulation in neurosurgical patients. *Neurosurgery*. 1999;45(4):838-848.
- Douketis JD, Johnson JA, Turpie AG. Low-molecularweight heparin as bridging anticoagulation during interruption of warfarin: assessment of a standardized periprocedural anticoagulation regimen. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164(12): 1319-1326.
- 46. Kovacs MJ, Kearon C, Rodger M, et al. Single-arm study of bridging therapy with low-molecular-weight heparin for patients at risk of arterial embolism who require temporary interruption of warfarin. *Circulation*. 2004;110(12):1658-1663.
- 47. Spyropoulos AC, Turpie AG, Dunn AS, et al; REGIMEN Investigators. Clinical outcomes with unfractionated heparin or low-molecular-weight heparin as bridging therapy in patients on long-term oral anticoagulants: the REGIMEN registry. J Thromb Haemost. 2006;4(6):1246-1252.
- 48. Pengo V, Cucchini U, Denas G, et al; Italian Federation of Centers for the Diagnosis of Thrombosis and Management of Antithrombotic Therapies (FCSA). Standardized lowmolecular-weight heparin bridging regimen in outpatients on oral anticoagulants undergoing invasive procedure or surgery: an inception cohort management study. *Circulation*. 2009;119(22):2920-2927.
- 49. Malato A, Saccullo G, Lo Coco L, et al. Patients requiring interruption of long-term oral anticoagulant therapy: the use of fixed sub-therapeutic doses of low-molecular-weight heparin. J Thromb Haemost. 2010;8(1):107-113.
- Halbritter KM, Wawer A, Beyer J, Oettler W, Schellong SM. Bridging anticoagulation for patients on long-term vitamin-K-antagonists. A prospective 1 year registry of 311 episodes. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(12):2823-2825.
- 51. Jaffer AK, Ahmed M, Brotman DJ, et al. Low-molecularweight-heparins as periprocedural anticoagulation for patients on long-term warfarin therapy: a standardized

bridging therapy protocol. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2005; 20(1):11-16.

- 52. Woods K, Douketis JD, Kathirgamanathan K, Yi Q, Crowther MA. Low-dose oral vitamin K to normalize the international normalized ratio prior to surgery in patients who require temporary interruption of warfarin. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2007;24(2):93-97.
- Dunn AS, Wisnivesky J, Ho W, Moore C, McGinn T, Sacks HS. Perioperative management of patients on oral anticoagulants: a decision analysis. *Med Decis Making*. 2005;25(4):387-397.
- Gerson LB, Gage BF, Owens DK, Triadafilopoulos G. Effect and outcomes of the ASGE guidelines on the periendoscopic management of patients who take anticoagulants. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2000;95(7):1717-1724.
- 55. Gerson LB, Groeneveld PW, Triadafilopoulos G. Costeffectiveness model of endoscopic screening and surveillance in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2004;2(10):868-879.
- Pettinger TK, Owens CT. Use of low-molecular-weight heparin during dental extractions in a Medicaid population. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2007;13(1):53-58.
- Goldstein JL, Larson LR, Yamashita BD, Fain JM, Schumock GT. Low molecular weight heparin versus unfractionated heparin in the colonoscopy peri-procedure period: a cost modeling study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2001;96(8):2360-2366.
- Fanikos J, Tsilimingras K, Kucher N, Rosen AB, Hieblinger MD, Goldhaber SZ. Comparison of efficacy, safety, and cost of low-molecular-weight heparin with continuous-infusion unfractionated heparin for initiation of anticoagulation after mechanical prosthetic valve implantation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;93(2):247-250.
- Amorosi SL, Tsilimingras K, Thompson D, Fanikos J, Weinstein MC, Goldhaber SZ. Cost analysis of "bridging therapy" with low-molecular-weight heparin versus unfractionated heparin during temporary interruption of chronic anticoagulation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;93(4):509-511.
- 60. Spyropoulos AC, Frost FJ, Hurley JS, Roberts M. Costs and clinical outcomes associated with low-molecular-weight heparin vs unfractionated heparin for perioperative bridging in patients receiving long-term oral anticoagulant therapy. *Chest.* 2004;125(5):1642-1650.
- Spyropoulos AC, Jenkins P, Bornikova L. A disease management protocol for outpatient perioperative bridge therapy with enoxaparin in patients requiring temporary interruption of long-term oral anticoagulation. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2004;24(5):649-658.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al; GRADE Working Group. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7650):924-926.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al; GRADE Working Group. Going from evidence to recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7652):1049-1051.
- McKenna R. Abnormal coagulation in the postoperative period contributing to excessive bleeding. *Med Clin North Am.* 2001;85(5):1277-1310.
- Tinker JH, Tarhan S. Discontinuing anticoagulant therapy in surgical patients with cardiac valve prostheses. Observations in 180 operations. *JAMA*. 1978;239(8):738-739.
- Katholi RE, Nolan SP, McGuire LB. The management of anticoagulation during noncardiac operations in patients with prosthetic heart valves. A prospective study. *Am Heart J.* 1978;96(2):163-165.
- Torn M, Rosendaal FR. Oral anticoagulation in surgical procedures: risks and recommendations. Br J Haematol. 2003;123(4):676-682.

- Larson BJ, Zumberg MS, Kitchens CS. A feasibility study of continuing dose-reduced warfarin for invasive procedures in patients with high thromboembolic risk. *Chest*. 2005;127(3):922-927.
- 69. Ansell J, Hirsh J, Hylek E, Jacobson A, Crowther M, Palareti G; American College of Chest Physicians. Pharmacology and management of the vitamin K antagonists: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest.* 2008;133(suppl 6):160S-198S.
- Gadisseur AP, van der Meer FJ, Adriaansen HJ, Fihn SD, Rosendaal FR. Therapeutic quality control of oral anticoagulant therapy comparing the short-acting acenocoumarol and the long-acting phenprocoumon. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;117(4):940-946.
- Palareti G, Legnani C. Warfarin withdrawal. Pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic considerations. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 1996;30(4):300-313.
- Ufer M. Comparative pharmacokinetics of vitamin K antagonists: warfarin, phenprocoumon and acenocoumarol. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2005;44(12):1227-1246.
- 73. Hylek EM, Regan S, Go AS, Hughes RA, Singer DE, Skates SJ. Clinical predictors of prolonged delay in return of the international normalized ratio to within the therapeutic range after excessive anticoagulation with warfarin. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135(6):393-400.
- Marietta M, Bertesi M, Simoni L, et al. A simple and safe nomogram for the management of oral anticoagulation prior to minor surgery. *Clin Lab Haematol.* 2003;25(2):127-130.
- Friedland S, Soetikno R. Colonoscopy with polypectomy in anticoagulated patients. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2006;64(1): 98-100.
- White RH, McKittrick T, Hutchinson R, Twitchell J. Temporary discontinuation of warfarin therapy: changes in the international normalized ratio. Ann Intern Med. 1995; 122(1):40-42.
- 77. Steib A, Barre J, Mertes M, et al. Can oral vitamin K before elective surgery substitute for preoperative heparin bridging in patients on vitamin K antagonists? J Thromb Haemost. 2010;8(3):499-503.
- Douketis JD, Gu CS, Schulman S, Ghirarduzzi A, Pengo V, Prandoni P. The risk of fatal pulmonary embolism after stopping anticoagulant therapy in patients with venous thromboembolism. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(11):766-774.
- Ferreira I, Dos L, Tornos P, Nicolau I, Permanyer-Miralda G, Soler-Soler J. Experience with enoxaparin in patients with mechanical heart valves who must withhold acenocumarol. *Heart*. 2003;89(5):527-530.
- 80. Hammerstingl C, Tripp C, Schmidt H, von der Recke G, Omran H. Periprocedural bridging therapy with low-molecular-weight heparin in chronically anticoagulated patients with prosthetic mechanical heart valves: experience in 116 patients from the prospective BRAVE registry. J Heart Valve Dis. 2007;16(3):285-292.
- Spandorfer JM, Lynch S, Weitz HH, Fertel S, Merli GJ. Use of enoxaparin for the chronically anticoagulated patient before and after procedures. *Am J Cardiol.* 1999;84(4): 478-480, A10.
- Tinmouth AH, Morrow BH, Cruickshank MK, Moore PM, Kovacs MJ. Dalteparin as periprocedure anticoagulation for patients on warfarin and at high risk of thrombosis. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2001;35(6):669-674.
- 83. Wilson S, Morgan J, Gray L, Newman V, Anderson DR. A model for perioperative outpatient management of anticoagulation in high-risk patients: an evaluation of effectiveness and safety. *Can J Hosp Pharm.* 2001;54(4):269-277.
- Constans M, Santamaria A, Mateo J, Pujol N, Souto JC, Fontcuberta J. Low-molecular-weight heparin as bridging

therapy during interruption of oral anticoagulation in patients undergoing colonoscopy or gastroscopy. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2007;61(2):212-217.

- Daniels PR, McBane RD, Litin SC, et al. Peri-procedural anticoagulation management of mechanical prosthetic heart valve patients. *Thromb Res.* 2009;124(3):300-305.
- 86. Spyropoulos AC, Turpie AG, Dunn AS, et al; REGIMEN Investigators. Perioperative bridging therapy with unfractionated heparin or low-molecular-weight heparin in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves on longterm oral anticoagulants (from the REGIMEN Registry). *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;102(7):883-889.
- Garcia DA, Regan S, Henault LE, et al. Risk of thromboembolism with short-term interruption of warfarin therapy. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168(1):63-69.
- Beldi G, Beng L, Siegel G, Bisch-Knaden S, Candinas D. Prevention of perioperative thromboembolism in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Br J Surg.* 2007;94(11):1351-1355.
- Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, et al; American College of Chest Physicians. Prevention of venous thromboenbolism: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (8th edition). *Chest.* 2008; 133(6 suppl):381S-453S.
- 90. BoreaG, Montebugnoli L, Capuzzi P, Magelli C. Tranexamic acid as a mouthwash in anticoagulant-treated patients undergoing oral surgery. An alternative method to discontinuing anticoagulant therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1993;75(1):29-31.
- Evans IL, Sayers MS, Gibbons AJ, Price G, Snooks H, Sugar AW. Can warfarin be continued during dental extraction? Results of a randomized controlled trial. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2002;40(3):248-252.
- Sacco R, Sacco M, Carpenedo M, Moia M. Oral surgery in patients on oral anticoagulant therapy: a randomized comparison of different INR targets. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2006;4(3):688-689.
- Al-Belasy FA, Amer MZ. Hemostatic effect of n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (histoacryl) glue in warfarin-treated patients undergoing oral surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003; 61(12):1405-1409.
- 94. Carter G, Goss A. Tranexamic acid mouthwash—a prospective randomized study of a 2-day regimen vs 5-day regimen to prevent postoperative bleeding in anticoagulated patients requiring dental extractions. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2003;32(5):504-507.
- Carter G, Goss A, Lloyd J, Tocchetti R. Tranexamic acid mouthwash versus autologous fibrin glue in patients taking warfarin undergoing dental extractions: a randomized prospective clinical study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;61(12): 1432-1435.
- Halfpenny W, Fraser JS, Adlam DM. Comparison of 2 hemostatic agents for the prevention of postextraction hemorrhage in patients on anticoagulants. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2001;92(3):257-259.
- Ramström G, Sindet-Pedersen S, Hall G, Blombäck M, Alander U. Prevention of postsurgical bleeding in oral surgery using tranexamic acid without dose modification of oral anticoagulants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1993;51(11):1211-1216.
- Bajkin BV, Popovic SL, Selakovic SD. Randomized, prospective trial comparing bridging therapy using low-molecularweight heparin with maintenance of oral anticoagulation during extraction of teeth. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2009;67(5):990-995.
- Vicente Barrero M, Knezevic M, Tapia Martín M, et al. Oral surgery in patients undergoing oral anticoagulant therapy. *Med Oral*. 2002;7(1):63-70.
- Campbell JH, Alvarado F, Murray RA. Anticoagulation and minor oral surgery: should the anticoagulation regimen be altered? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2000;58(2):131-136.

- Cannon PD, Dharmar VT. Minor oral surgical procedures in patients on oral anticoagulants—a controlled study. Aust Dent J. 2003;48(2):115-118.
- 102. Devani P, Lavery KM, Howell CJ. Dental extractions in patients on warfarin: is alteration of anticoagulant regime necessary? Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1998;36(2):107-111.
- 103. Gaspar R, Brenner B, Ardekian L, Peled M, Laufer D. Use of tranexamic acid mouthwash to prevent postoperative bleeding in oral surgery patients on oral anticoagulant medication. *Quintessence Int.* 1997;28(6):375-379.
- 104. Saour JN, Ali HA, Mammo LA, Sieck JO. Dental procedures in patients receiving oral anticoagulation therapy. *J Heart Valve Dis.* 1994;3(3):315-317.
- Street AM, Leung W. Use of tranexamic acid mouthwash in dental procedures in patients taking oral anticoagulants. *Med J Aust.* 1990;153(10):630.
- 106. Zanon E, Martinelli F, Bacci C, Cordioli G, Girolami A. Safety of dental extraction among consecutive patients on oral anticoagulant treatment managed using a specific dental management protocol. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis*. 2003;14(1): 27-30.
- 107. Blinder D, Manor Y, Martinowitz U, Taicher S. Dental extractions in patients maintained on oral anticoagulant therapy: comparison of INR value with occurrence of postoperative bleeding. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2001;30(6):518-521.
- Della Valle A, Sammartino G, Marenzi G, et al. Prevention of postoperative bleeding in anticoagulated patients undergoing oral surgery: use of platelet-rich plasma gel. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;61(11):1275-1278.
- Martinowitz U, Mazar AL, Taicher S, et al. Dental extraction for patients on oral anticoagulant therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1990;70(3):274-277.
- Ramli R, Abdul Rahman R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulated patients: local measures alone are sufficient for haemostasis. *Singapore Dent J.* 2005;27(1):13-16.
- 111. Russo G, Corso LD, Biasiolo A, Berengo M, Pengo V. Simple and safe method to prepare patients with prosthetic heart valves for surgical dental procedures. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* 2000;6(2):90-93.
- 112. Zusman SP, Lustig JP, Baston I. Postextraction hemostasis in patients on anticoagulant therapy: the use of a fibrin sealant. *Quintessence Int*. 1992;23(10):713-716.
- Cieslik-Bielewska A, Pelc R, Cieslik T. Oral surgery procedures in patients on anticoagulants. Preliminary report. *Kardiol Pol.* 2005;63(2):137-141.
- 114. Keiani Motlagh K, Loeb I, Legrand W, Daelemans P, Van Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in patients taking oral anticoagulants. Effects of tranexamic acid]. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*. 2003;104(2):77-79.
- 115. Garcia-Darennes F, Darennes J, Freidel M, Breton P. Protocol for adapting treatment with vitamin K antagonists before dental extraction [in French]. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*. 2003;104(2):69-72.
- 116. Blinder D, Manor Y, Martinowitz U, Taicher S, Hashomer T. Dental extractions in patients maintained on continued oral anticoagulant: comparison of local hemostatic modalities. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1999;88(2):137-140.
- 117. Bodner L, Weinstein JM, Baumgarten AK. Efficacy of fibrin sealant in patients on various levels of oral anticoagulant undergoing oral surgery. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1998;86(4):421-424.
- 118. Morimoto Y, Niwa H, Minematsu K. Hemostatic management of tooth extractions in patients on oral antithrombotic therapy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008;66(1):51-57.
- 119. Kovich Ó, Otley CC. Thrombotic complications related to discontinuation of warfarin and aspirin therapy perioperatively

www.chestpubs.org

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e347S

for cutaneous operation. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003;48(2): 233-237.

- Alcalay J. Cutaneous surgery in patients receiving warfarin therapy. *Dermatol Surg.* 2001;27(8):756-758.
- 121. Billingsley EM, Maloney ME. Intraoperative and postoperative bleeding problems in patients taking warfarin, aspirin, and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents. A prospective study. *Dermatol Surg.* 1997;23(5):381-385.
- 122. Kargi E, Babuccu O, Hosnuter M, Babuccu B, Altinyazar C. Complications of minor cutaneous surgery in patients under anticoagulant treatment. *Aesthetic Plast Surg.* 2002;26(6):483-485.
- 123. Syed S, Adams BB, Liao W, Pipitone M, Gloster H. A prospective assessment of bleeding and international normalized ratio in warfarin-anticoagulated patients having cutaneous surgery. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2004;51(6):955-957.
- 124. Hirschman DR, Morby LJ. A study of the safety of continued anticoagulation for cataract surgery patients. Nurs Forum. 2006;41(1):30-37.
- Kallio H, Paloheimo M, Maunuksela EL. Haemorrhage and risk factors associated with retrobulbar/peribulbar block: a prospective study in 1383 patients. *Br J Anaesth.* 2000;85(5):708-711.
- 126. Katz J, Feldman MA, Bass EB, et al; Study of Medical Testing for Cataract Surgery Team. Risks and benefits of anticoagulant and antiplatelet medication use before cataract surgery. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110(9):1784-1788.
- 127. Lumme P, Laatikainen LT. Risk factors for intraoperative and early postoperative complications in extracapsular cataract surgery. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 1994;4(3):151-158.
- Roberts CW, Woods SM, Turner LS. Cataract surgery in anticoagulated patients. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1991; 17(3):309-312.
- Rotenstreich Y, Rubowitz A, Segev F, Jaeger-Roshu S, Assia EI. Effect of warfarin therapy on bleeding during cataract surgery. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2001;27(9):1344-1346.
- Robinson GA, Nylander A. Warfarin and cataract extraction. Br J Ophthalmol. 1989;73(9):702-703.
- 132. Hall DL. Cataract surgery and anticoagulants. J La State Med Soc. 1996;148(10):431-433.
- 133. Kumar N, Jivan S, Thomas P, McLure H. Sub-Tenon's anesthesia with aspirin, warfarin, and clopidogrel. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2006;32(6):1022-1025.
- Jonas JB, Pakdaman B, Sauder G. Cataract surgery under systemic anticoagulant therapy with coumarin. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2006;16(1):30-32.
- 135. Barequet IS, Sachs D, Priel A, et al. Phacoemulsification of cataract in patients receiving Coumadin therapy: ocular and hematologic risk assessment. Am J Ophthalmol. 2007; 144(5):719-723.
- Harder S, Klinkhardt U, Alvarez JM. Avoidance of bleeding during surgery in patients receiving anticoagulant and/or antiplatelet therapy: pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic considerations. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2004;43(14):963-981.
- 137. Roth GJ, Majerus PW. The mechanism of the effect of aspirin on human platelets. I. Acetylation of a particulate fraction protein. J Clin Invest. 1975;56(3):624-632.
- Roth GJ, Stanford N, Majerus PW. Acetylation of prostaglandin synthase by aspirin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1975;72(8):3073-3076.
- Lenz TL, Hilleman DE. Aggrenox: a fixed-dose combination of aspirin and dipyridamole. Ann Pharmacother. 2000; 34(11):1283-1290.
- Schrör K. The pharmacology of cilostazol. *Diabetes Obes* Metab. 2002;4(Suppl 2):S14-S19.

- 141. Munster TFD. Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs, Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs, Nonopioid Analgesics, & Drugs Used in Gout. 8th ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 2001.
- 142. Quinn MJ, Fitzgerald DJ. Ticlopidine and clopidogrel. *Circulation*. 1999;100(15):1667-1672.
- 143. Gawaz M, Seyfarth M, Müller I, et al. Comparison of effects of clopidogrel versus ticlopidine on platelet function in patients undergoing coronary stent placement. Am J Cardiol. 2001;87(3):332-336, A9.
- Müller I, Seyfarth M, Rüdiger S, et al. Effect of a high loading dose of clopidogrel on platelet function in patients undergoing coronary stent placement. *Heart*. 2001;85(1):92-93.
- 145. Geiger J, Teichmann L, Grossmann R, et al. Monitoring of clopidogrel action: comparison of methods. *Clin Chem.* 2005;51(6):957-965.
- 146. Steinhubl SR, Talley JD, Braden GA, et al. Point-of-care measured platelet inhibition correlates with a reduced risk of an adverse cardiac event after percutaneous coronary intervention: results of the GOLD (AU-Assessing Ultegra) multicenter study. *Circulation*. 2001;103(21):2572-2578.
- 147. Watson HG, Greaves M. Can we predict bleeding? Semin Thromb Hemost. 2008;34(1):97-103.
- Price MJ. Monitoring platelet function to reduce the risk of ischemic and bleeding complications. Am J Cardiol. 2009;103(suppl 3):35A-39A.
- Ardekian L, Gaspar R, Peled M, Brener B, Laufer D. Does low-dose aspirin therapy complicate oral surgical procedures? J Am Dent Assoc. 2000;131(3):331-335.
- Brennan MT, Valerin MA, Noll JL, et al. Aspirin use and post-operative bleeding from dental extractions. J Dent Res. 2008;87(8):740-744.
- 151. Napeñas JJ, Hong CH, Brennan MT, Furney SL, Fox PC, Lockhart PB. The frequency of bleeding complications after invasive dental treatment in patients receiving single and dual antiplatelet therapy. J Am Dent Assoc. 2009;140(6): 690-695.
- 152. Madan GA, Madan SG, Madan G, Madan AD. Minor oral surgery without stopping daily low-dose aspirin therapy: a study of 51 patients. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005;63(9): 1262-1265.
- Allard RH, Baart JA, Huijgens PC, van Merkesteijn JP. Antithrombotic therapy and dental surgery with bleeding [in Dutch]. *Ned Tijdschr Tandheelkd*. 2004;111(12):482-485.
- Bartlett GR. Does aspirin affect the outcome of minor cutaneous surgery? Br J Plast Surg. 1999;52(3):214-216.
- Shalom A, Wong L. Outcome of aspirin use during excision of cutaneous lesions. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2003;50(3):296-298.
- 156. Narendran N, Williamson TH. The effects of aspirin and warfarin therapy on haemorrhage in vitreoretinal surgery. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand.* 2003;81(1):38-40.
- 157. Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) Trial Collaborative Group. Prevention of pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis with low dose aspirin: pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) trial. *Lancet*. 2000;355(9212):1295-1302.
- Oscarsson A, Gupta A, Fredrikson M, et al. To continue or discontinue aspirin in the perioperative period: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. Br J Anaesth. 2010;104(3):305-312.
- 159. Burger W, Chemnitius JM, Kneissl GD, Rücker G. Lowdose aspirin for secondary cardiovascular prevention cardiovascular risks after its perioperative withdrawal versus bleeding risks with its continuation—review and meta-analysis. *J Intern Med.* 2005;257(5):399-414.
- 160. Poldermans D, Bax JJ, Boersma E, et al; Task Force for Preoperative Cardiac Risk Assessment and Perioperative Cardiac Management in Non-cardiac Surgery of European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Society of Anaesthesiology

(ESA). Guidelines for pre-operative cardiac risk assessment and perioperative cardiac management in non-cardiac surgery: the Task Force for Preoperative Cardiac Risk Assessment and Perioperative Cardiac Management in Non-cardiac Surgery of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and endorsed by the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA). *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2010;27(2):92-137.

- 161. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, et al; ACC/AHA Task Force Members. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation for Noncardiac Surgery): developed in Collaboration With the American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, and Society for Vascular Surgery. *Circulation*. 2007;116(17):1971-1996.
- 162. Tytgat SH, Laman DM, Rijken AM, et al. Emboli rate during and early after carotid endarterectomy after a single preoperative dose of 120 mg acetylsalicylic acid—a prospective double-blind placebo controlled randomised trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2005;29(2):156-161.
- 163. McCollum C, Alexander C, Kenchington G, Franks PJ, Greenhalgh R. Antiplatelet drugs in femoropopliteal vein bypasses: a multicenter trial. J Vasc Surg. 1991;13(1):150-162.
- Lindblad B, Persson NH, Takolander R, Bergqvist D. Does low-dose acetylsalicylic acid prevent stroke after carotid surgery? A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. *Stroke*. 1993;24(8):1125-1128.
- 165. Sharma AK, Ajani AE, Hamwi SM, et al. Major noncardiac surgery following coronary stenting: when is it safe to operate? *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2004;63(2):141-145.
- Reddy PR, Vaitkus PT. Risks of noncardiac surgery after coronary stenting. Am J Cardiol. 2005;95(6):755-757.
- 167. Ernst A, Eberhardt R, Wahidi M, Becker HD, Herth FJ. Effect of routine clopidogrel use on bleeding complications after transbronchial biopsy in humans. *Chest.* 2006; 129(3):734-737.
- 168. Sørensen R, Hansen ML, Abildstrom SZ, et al. Risk of bleeding in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with different combinations of aspirin, clopidogrel, and vitamin K antagonists in Denmark: a retrospective analysis of nationwide registry data. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9706): 1967-1974.
- 169. Harker LA, Boissel JP, Pilgrim AJ, Gent M. Comparative safety and tolerability of clopidogrel and aspirin: results from CAPRIE. CAPRIE Steering Committee and Investigators. Clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischaemic events. Drug Saf. 1999;21(4):325-335.
- 170. Thom T, Haase N, Rosamond W, et al; American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2006 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. *Circulation*. 2006;113(6):e85-e151.
- 171. Koch CG, Li L, Duncan AI, et al. Morbidity and mortality risk associated with red blood cell and blood-component transfusion in isolated coronary artery bypass grafting. *Crit Care Med.* 2006;34(6):1608-1616.
- 172. Sethi GK, Copeland JG, Goldman S, Moritz T, Zadina K, Henderson WG; Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study on Antiplatelet Therapy. Implications of preoperative administration of aspirin in patients undergoing

coronary artery bypass grafting. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990; 15(1):15-20.

- Merritt JC, Bhatt DL. The efficacy and safety of perioperative antiplatelet therapy. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2002;13(2):97-103.
- 174. Alghamdi AA, Moussa F, Fremes SE. Does the use of preoperative aspirin increase the risk of bleeding in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Card Surg.* 2007;22(3): 247-256.
- 175. Bybee KA, Powell BD, Valeti U, et al. Preoperative aspirin therapy is associated with improved postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Circulation*. 2005;112(9 suppl):1286-1292.
- 176. Dacey LJ, Munoz JJ, Johnson ER, et al; Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group. Effect of preoperative aspirin use on mortality in coronary artery bypass grafting patients. Ann Thorac Surg. 2000;70(6): 1986-1990.
- 177. Mehta RH, Roe MT, Mulgund J, et al. Acute clopidogrel use and outcomes in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;48(2):281-286.
- 178. Fox KA, Mehta SR, Peters R, et al; Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent ischemic Events Trial. Benefits and risks of the combination of clopidogrel and aspirin in patients undergoing surgical revascularization for non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent ischemic Events (CURE) Trial. *Circulation*. 2004;110(10):1202-1208.
- Purkayastha S, Athanasiou T, Malinovski V, et al. Does clopidogrel affect outcome after coronary artery bypass grafting? A meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2006;92(4):531-532.
- Hongo RH, Ley J, Dick SE, Yee RR. The effect of clopidogrel in combination with aspirin when given before coronary artery bypass grafting. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;40(2):231-237.
- Chen L, Bracey AW, Radovancevic R, et al. Clopidogrel and bleeding in patients undergoing elective coronary artery bypass grafting. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2004;128(3): 425-431.
- 182. Carless PA, Moxey AJ, Stokes BJ, Henry DA. Are antifibrinolytic drugs equivalent in reducing blood loss and transfusion in cardiac surgery? A meta-analysis of randomized head-to-head trials. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2005;5:19.
- 183. Brown JR, Birkmeyer NJ, O'Connor GT. Meta-analysis comparing the effectiveness and adverse outcomes of antifibrinolytic agents in cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. 2007;115(22):2801-2813.
- Mangano DT, Tudor IC, Dietzel C; Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group; Ischemia Research and Education Foundation. The risk associated with aprotinin in cardiac surgery. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(4):353-365.
- 185. Cruden NL, Harding SA, Flapan AD, et al; Scottish Coronary Revascularisation Register Steering Committee. Previous coronary stent implantation and cardiac events in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;3(3):236-242.
- Iakovou I, Schmidt T, Bonizzoni E, et al. Incidence, predictors, and outcome of thrombosis after successful implantation of drug-eluting stents. *JAMA*. 2005;293(17):2126-2130.
- 187. Kałuza GL, Joseph J, Lee JR, Raizner ME, Raizner AE. Catastrophic outcomes of noncardiac surgery soon after coronary stenting. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;35(5):1288-1294.
- Wilson SH, Rihal CS, Bell MR, Velianou JL, Holmes DR Jr, Berger PB. Timing of coronary stent thrombosis in patients treated with ticlopidine and aspirin. *Am J Cardiol.* 1999; 83(7):1006-1011.

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e349S

- 189. Cutlip DE, Baim DS, Ho KK, et al. Stent thrombosis in the modern era: a pooled analysis of multicenter coronary stent clinical trials. *Circulation*. 2001;103(15):1967-1971.
- 190. Schouten O, Bax JJ, Poldermans D. Management of patients with cardiac stents undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2007;20(3):274-278.
- 191. Park DW, Hong MK, Mintz GS, et al. Two-year follow-up of the quantitative angiographic and volumetric intravascular ultrasound analysis after nonpolymeric paclitaxel-eluting stent implantation: late "catch-up" phenomenon from ASPECT Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;48(12):2432-2439.
- 192. Nuttall GA, Brown MJ, Stombaugh JW, et al. Time and cardiac risk of surgery after bare-metal stent percutaneous coronary intervention. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;109(4):588-595.
- 193. Rabbitts JA, Nuttall GA, Brown MJ, et al. Cardiac risk of noncardiac surgery after percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;109(4): 596-604.
- 194. Brotman DJ, Bakhru M, Saber W, et al. Discontinuation of antiplatelet therapy prior to low-risk noncardiac surgery in patients with drug-eluting stents: a retrospective cohort study. J Hosp Med. 2007;2(6):378-384.
- 195. Compton PA, Zankar AA, Adesanya AO, Banerjee S, Brilakis ES. Risk of noncardiac surgery after coronary drug-eluting stent implantation. Am J Cardiol. 2006;98(9):1212-1213.
- 196. Schouten O, van Domburg RT, Bax JJ, et al. Noncardiac surgery after coronary stenting: early surgery and interruption of antiplatelet therapy are associated with an increase in major adverse cardiac events. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007; 49(1):122-124.
- 197. Anwaruddin S, Askari AT, Saudye H, et al. Characterization of post-operative risk associated with prior drug-eluting stent use. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2009;2(6):542-549.
- 198. Holmes DR Jr, Dehmer GJ, Kaul S, Leifer D, O'Gara PT, Stein CM. ACCF/AHA clopidogrel clinical alert: approaches to the FDA "boxed warning": a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on clinical expert consensus documents and the American Heart Association endorsed by the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56(4):321-341.
- 199. Savonitto S, D'Urbano M, Caracciolo M, et al. Urgent surgery in patients with a recently implanted coronary drug-eluting stent: a phase II study of 'bridging' antiplatelet therapy with tirofiban during temporary withdrawal of clopidogrel. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010;104(3):285-291.
- Bolsin S, Conroy M, Osborne C. Tirofiban 'bridging' therapy for patients with drug-eluting stents undergoing non-cardiac surgery. Br J Anaesth. 2010;104(6):779, author reply 779-780.
- 201. Wilczyński M, Bochenek T, Góral J, et al. Use of eptifibatide in patients with acute stent thrombosis, requiring urgent surgical revascularisation—report of 2 cases [in Polish]. Kardiol Pol. 2009;67(11):1313-1316.
- 202. Albers GW, Amarenco P, Easton JD, Sacco RL, Teal P; American College of Chest Physicians. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest*. 2008;133(suppl 6):630S-669S.
- 203. Strebel N, Prins M, Agnelli G, Büller HR. Preoperative or postoperative start of prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism with low-molecular-weight heparin in elective hip surgery? Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(13):1451-1456.
- 204. Turpie AG, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, Lassen MR. Fondaparinux vs enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in major orthopedic surgery: a meta-analysis of 4 randomized double-blind studies [see comment]. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162(16):1833-1840.

- Bath PM, Lindenstrom E, Boysen G, et al. Tinzaparin in acute ischaemic stroke (TAIST): a randomised aspirincontrolled trial. *Lancet*. 2001;358(9283):702-710.
- 206. Turpie AG, Gunstensen J, Hirsh J, Nelson H, Gent M. Randomised comparison of two intensities of oral anticoagulant therapy after tissue heart valve replacement. *Lancet*. 1988;1(8597):1242-1245.
- 207. Finazzi G, Marchioli R, Brancaccio V, et al. A randomized clinical trial of high-intensity warfarin vs. conventional antithrombotic therapy for the prevention of recurrent thrombosis in patients with the antiphospholipid syndrome (WAPS). *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3(5):848-853.
- 208. Hirsh J, Raschke R. Heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy. *Chest.* 2004;126(3 suppl):188S-203S.
- Katholi RE, Nolan SP, McGuire LB. Living with prosthetic heart valves. Subsequent noncardiac operations and the risk of thromboembolism or hemorrhage. *Am Heart J.* 1976;92(2):162-167.
- 210. Kearon C, Ginsberg JS, Julian JA, et al; Fixed-Dose Heparin (FIDO) Investigators. Comparison of fixed-dose weightadjusted unfractionated heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin for acute treatment of venous thromboembolism. JAMA. 2006;296(8):935-942.
- 211. O'Donnell MJ, Kearon C, Johnson J, et al. Brief communication: preoperative anticoagulant activity after bridging low-molecular-weight heparin for temporary interruption of warfarin. Ann Intern Med. 2007;146(3):184-187.
- 212. Douketis JD, Woods K, Foster GA, Crowther MA. Bridging anticoagulation with low-molecular-weight heparin after interruption of warfarin therapy is associated with a residual anticoagulant effect prior to surgery. *Thromb Haemost*. 2005;94(3):528-531.
- 213. Bui HT, Krisnaswami A, Le CU, Chan J, Shenoy BN. Comparison of safety of subcutaneous enoxaparin as outpatient anticoagulation bridging therapy in patients with a mechanical heart valve versus patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;104(10):1429-1433.
- Vink R, Rienstra M, van Dongen CJ, et al. Risk of thromboembolism and bleeding after general surgery in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2005;96(6):822-824.
- 215. National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. A safety and effectiveness study of LMWH bridging therapy versus placebo bridging therapy for patients on long term warfarin and require temporary interruption of their warfarin. NCT00432796. ClinicalTrials.gov. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2007. http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT00432796?term=NCT00432796&rank=1.
- 216. National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. Effectiveness of bridging anticoagulation for surgery. NCT00786474. ClinicalTrials.gov. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2008. http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00786474? term=NCT00786474&rank=1.
- 217. National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. Bridge or continue coumadin for device surgery randomized controlled trial. NCT00800137. ClinicalTrials.gov. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2008. http://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT00800137?term=NCT00800137&rank=1.
- 218. National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. Perioperative ischemic evaluation-2 trial. NCT01082874. ClinicalTrials.gov. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2010. http:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01082874?term=NCT01082874& rank=1.
- Myles PS, Smith J, Knight J, et al; ATACAS Trial Group. Aspirin and Tranexamic Acid for Coronary Artery Surgery (ATACAS) Trial: rationale and design. *Am Heart J.* 2008; 155(2):224-230.





CHEST

Perioperative Management of Antithrombotic Therapy

Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

James D. Douketis, MD, FCCP; Alex C. Spyropoulos, MD, FCCP; Frederick A. Spencer, MD; Michael Mayr, MD; Amir K. Jaffer, MD, FHM; Mark H. Eckman, MD; Andrew S. Dunn, MD; and Regina Kunz, MD, MSc (Epi)

Appendix S1—Medline Literature Search Strategy

- 1. Anticoagulants/ or perioperative anticoagulation.mp.
- 2. warfarin.mp. or exp Warfarin/
- 3. exp Heparin/ or exp Heparin, Low-Molecular-Weight/ or heparin.mp.
- 4. exp Perioperative Care/ or perioperative.mp.
- 5. atrial fibrillation.mp. or exp Atrial Fibrillation/
- 6. exp Heart Valve Prosthesis/ or mechanical heart valve.mp.
- 7. exp Thrombosis/ or thrombosis.mp. or exp Venous Thrombosis/
- 8.4 or 1
- 9.8 and 7 and 2
- 10. 3 and 9
- $11.\ 9 \ \text{and} \ 5$
- 12. 8 and 6
- 13. aspirin.mp. or exp Aspirin/
- 14. antiplatelet agent.mp. or exp Platelet Aggregation Inhibitors/
- 15. 13 or 14
- 16. 4 and 15

			Qua	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings	f Findings			
							No. of Patients	tients	EA	Effect		
No. of Studies	Design	Limitations	Limitations Inconsistency Indirectness	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Stopping VKA ~5 d Before Surgery	Stopping VKA Closer to Surgery	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Quality Importance
						Thromboembolic event	ic event					
C: 0								Not reported	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant	Low	Critical
					Major bleeding	(follow-up 3-8 w	Major bleeding (follow-up 3-8 wk): Friedland et al, 2006					
C: 1	Case series	Very serious ^a	Case series Very serious ^a Not relevant	No serious	Very serious ^b	Very serious ^b No publication		0 Events in	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant	Low	Critical
				indirectness		$bias^c$		21 patients				
						Death						
0							Not reported	Not reported	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant	Low	Critical
Bibliogr normali. ^a Single- ⁴ ^b Only 21	Bibliography: Friedland S, Soet normalized ratio; PICO = popul "Single-arm case series without <i>c</i> ^b Only 21 patients. • Only one publication identified.	ind S, Soetikno 30 = population s without comp identified.	R, Friedland S, , intervention, cc arison and no infi	Bibliography: Friedland S, Soetikno R, Friedland S, et al. Colonoscopy with polypectomy in anticoat normalized ratio; PICO = population, intervention, comparator, outcome; VKA = vitamin K antagonist. "Single-arm case series without comparison and no information on adjustment, blinding of outcome asse "Only 21 patients.	y with polypect le; VKA = vitami tment, blinding	omy in anticoagu n K antagonist. of outcome assess	Bibliography: Friedland S, Soetikno R, Friedland S, et al. Colonoscopy with polypectomy in anticoagulated patients. <i>Gastrointest Endosc</i> . 2006;64(1):98-100. C = comparator; INR = international normalized ratio; PICO = population, intervention, comparator; outcome; VKA = vitamin K antagonist. *Single-arm case series without comparison and no information on adjustment, blinding of outcome assessment, or patients lost to follow-up.	<i>ntest Endosc.</i> 2006. 6 follow-up.	;64(1):98-100.	C = comparator	; INR = ii	ıternational

Table S1-[Section 2.1] Evidence Profile: PICO in Patients Who Require Warfarin Interruption Before Surgery to Attain Normal or Near-Normal INR At Surgery, Stopping Warfarin 5 to 6 Days Before Surgery vs Stopping Warfarin <5 d Before Surgery

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowisioaded from the American in the American College of Chest Dowisioaded from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

2

			Quality	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings	of Findings			
							No. of Patients	s	Effect	ect		
No. of Studies	Design	Limitations	Limitations Inconsistency		Imprecision	Other Indirectness Imprecision Considerations	Bridging Anticoagulation With a Heparin	No Bridging	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Quality Importance
				Th	romboembolic	event (follow-up 4	Thromboembolic event (follow-up 4 wk): Pengo et al, 2009					
I: 1, C: 0	I: 1, C: 0 Observational study	Serious ^a	Not relevant No serious indirectu	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	No publication bias	No publication 5 events in 295 patients, Not bias pIR0.02 [CI: 0.01-0.04] re	Not reported	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant Low	Low	Critical
					Major bleedi	ng (follow-up 4 wk)	Major bleeding (follow-up 4 wk): Pengo et al, 2009					
I: 1, C: 0	I: 1, C: 0 Observational study	Serious ^a	Not relevant No serious indirect	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	No publication bias	No publication 8 events in 295 patients, Not bias pIR 0.03 [CI: 0.01-0.05] re	Not reported	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant Low	Low	Critical
					Death (f	Death (follow-up 4 wk): Pengo et al, 2009	ngo et al, 2009					
I: 1, C: 0	I: 1, C: 0 Observational study	Serious ^a	Not relevant No serious indirectr	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	No publication bias	No publication 1 event in 295 patients, bias pIR 0.0 [CI: 0.00-0.02]				Low	Critical
$\begin{array}{l} \hline Bibliogra \\ weight h \\ I = interv \\ ^{a} No infor \end{array}$	Bibliography: Pengo V, Cucchini U, Denas G, et al; Italian Federati weight heparin bridging regimen in outpatients on oral anticoagy I = intervention; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; pIR = poo ^a No information on adjustment, and blinding of outcome assessment	cchini U, Den egimen in o low-molecul nent, and blin	nas G, et al; Italia utpatients on ora lar-weight heparin dding of outcome	m Federation of al anticoagulants 1; pIR = pooled in assessment.	Centers for th undergoing in cidence rate. S	 Diagnosis of Thrankasive proceedure See Table S1 legend 	Bibliography: Pengo V, Cucchini U, Denas G, et al; Italian Federation of Centers for the Diagnosis of Thrombosis and Management of Antithrombotic Therapies (FCSA). Standardized low-molecular- weight heparin bridging regimen in outpatients on oral anticoagulants undergoing invasive procedure or surgery: an inception cohort management study. <i>Circulation</i> . 2009;119(22):2920-2927. I = intervention; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; pIR = pooled incidence rate. See Table S1 legend for expansion of other abbreviation.	of AntithromE cohort manag reviation.	otic Therapies (gement study. C	(FCSA). Standa <i>Sirculation</i> . 20(rdized low 09;119(22):	-molecular- 2920-2927.

 Table S2—[Section 2.4] PICO: In Patients Considered at High Risk for Perioperative Thromboembolism, Bridging Anticoagulation With Heparin/LMWH

 vs No Bridging

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinioaded from the uncertaint for the second state of the context of the contex

3

^bSparse events.

			Quality	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings	f Findings			
							No. of Patients	ts	Effect	ect	_	
No. of Studies	Design	Limitations	Limitations Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Imprecision Considerations	Bridging Anticoagulation With a Heparin	No Bridging	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Quality Importance
				Th	romboembolic	event (follow-up 4	Thromboembolic event (follow-up 4 wk): Pengo et al, 2009					
I: 1, C: 0	I: 1, C: 0 Observational study	Serious ^a	Not relevant	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	No publication bias	No publication 0 events in 967 patients bias	Not reported	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant	Low	Critical
					Major bleedii	ng (follow-up 4 wk)	Major bleeding (follow-up 4 wk): Pengo et al, 2009					
I: 1, C: 0	I: 1, C: 0 Observational study	Serious ^a	Not relevant	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	No publication bias	7 events in 967 patients, pIR 0.01 [CI: 0.00-0.02]	Not reported	Not relevant	Not relevant Not relevant	Low	Critical
						Death						
0												Critical
Bibliography: F weight heparin and S2 legends ^a No specific inf ^b Sparse events.	Bibliography: Pengo V, Cucchini U, Denas G, weight heparin bridging regimen in outpatient and S2 legends for expansion of abbreviations. ^a No specific information on adjustment, and b ^b Sparse events.	cchini U, Den gimen in outps on of abbrevia a adjustment, a	as G, et al; Itali atients on oral an tions. and blinding of c	Bibliography: Pengo V, Cucchini U, Denas G, et al; Italian Federation of Ce weight heparin bridging regimen in outpatients on oral anticoagulants underg and S2 legends for expansion of abbreviations. ^a No specific information on adjustment, and blinding of outcome assessment. ^b Sparse events.	Centers for the rgoing invasive nt.	 Diagnosis of Thr procedure or surg 	Bibliography: Pengo V, Cucchini U, Denas G, et al; Italian Federation of Centers for the Diagnosis of Thrombosis and Management of Antithrombotic Therapies (FCSA). Standardized low-molecular- weight heparin bridging regimen in outpatients on oral anticoagulants undergoing invasive procedure or surgery: an inception cohort management study. <i>Circulation</i> . 2009;119(22):2920-2927. See Table S1 and S2 legends for expansion of abbreviations. • No specific information on adjustment, and blinding of outcome assessment.	of Antithromb nagement stuc	otic Therapies	(FCSA). Standa 2009;119(22):29	rdized low 20-2927. S	/-molecular- see Table S1

 Table S3—[Section 2.4] PICO: In Patients Considered at Low Risk for Perioperative Thromboembolism, Bridging Anticoagulation With Heparin/LMWH

 vs No Bridging

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinioaded from the angle of Chest Dowinioaded from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

4

		Quali	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings	ngs		
_					_	No. of	No. of Patients	Effect	_	
No. of Studies Design		Limitations Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Continue VKA + Prohemostatic Intervention	Stopping VKA 2-3 d Before Procedure With Target INR 1.5-1.8	Relative (95% CI) Absolute	Quality Importance	portance
			Thromboeml	bolic event (follo	w-up 3 mo) C: R	Thromboembolic event (follow-up 3 mo) C: Russo et al, 2000; Saour et al, 1994	et al, 1994			
I: 0, C: 2 Prospective cohort, case serie	ospective Serious ^a cohort, case series	^a No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness to any comparison ^b	No serious imprecision	No serious publication bias	No studies	0 in 260 patients		0	Critical
Major bleeding	(follow-up 1-8 d)	Major bleeding (follow-up 1-8 d): I: Blinder et al, 1999; Bodner et al, Ramli et al, 2005; Street et al, 1990.		8; Cieślik-Bielew man et al, 1992; 0	ska et al, 2005; I C: Blinder et al,	Della Valle et al, 2003; 2001; Campbell et al, 2	: I: Blinder et al, 1999; Bodner et al, 1998; Cieślik-Bielewska et al, 2005; Della Valle et al, 2003; Ferrieri et al, 2007; Keiani Motlagh et al, 2003; Martinowitz et al, 1990; Ramli et al, 2005; Street et al, 1990; Zusman et al, 1992; C: Blinder et al, 2001; Campbell et al, 2000; Russo et al, 2000; Saour et al, 1994	Motlagh et al, 2003; Mar wr et al, 1994	tinowitz et al,	l, 1990;
I: 10, Prospective C: 4 cohort, case serie	ospective Serious ^a cohort, case series	¹ No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness to any comparison ^b	No serious imprecision	No serious publication bias	l event in 699 patients, pIR 0.001	0 in 332 patients	Not Not relevant relevant	Low C	Critical
Moderate blee	ding (follow-up 1- Morimato e	Moderate bleeding (follow-up 1-8 d): I: Binder et al, 1999; Bodner et Morimato et al, 2008; Ramli et al, 2005; Street e	999; Bodner et al, 1 l, 2005; Street et al,	998; Cieślik-Biele 1990; Zusman et	wska et al, 2005; al, 1992; C: Blir	: Della Valle et al, 2003; nder et al, 2001; Campl	follow-up 1-8 d): I: Binder et al, 1999; Bodner et al, 1998; Cieślik-Bielewska et al, 2005; Della Valle et al, 2003; Ferrieri et al, 2007; Keiani Motlagh et al, 2003; Martinowitz et al, 1990; Morimato et al, 2008; Russo et al, 2006; Russo et al, 2006; Saour et al, 1994	Motlagh et al, 2003; Mari 2000; Saour et al, 1994	tinowitz et al,	1990;
I: 11, Prospective C: 4 cohort, case serie:	ospective Serious ^a cohort, case series	¹ No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness to any comparison ^b	No serious imprecision	No serious publication bias	33 events in 833 patients, pIR 0.06 [CI: 0.04-0.09]	5 events in 332 patients, pIR 0.03 [CI: 0.01-0.09]	Not Not relevant relevant	Low Im	Important
Minor bleeding	(follow-up 1-8 d) Morimato ϵ): I: Binder et al, 199. et al, 2008; Ramli et z	9; Bodner et al, 1994 Il,2005; Street et al,	8; Cieślik-Bielew 1990; Zusman et	ska et al, 2005; I al, 1992; C: Bin	Della Valle et al, 2003; der et al, 2001; Campb	Minor bleeding (follow-up 1-8 d): I: Binder et al, 1999; Bodher et al, 1998; Cieślik-Bielewska et al, 2005; Della Valle et al, 2003; Ferrieri et al, 2007; Keiani Motlagh et al, 2003; Martinowitz et al, 1990; Martinowitz et al, 1990; Zusman et al, 1992; C: Binder et al, 2001; Campbell et al, 2000; Russo et al, 2000; Saour et al, 1994	Motlagh et al, 2003; Mar 2000; Saour et al, 1994	tinowitz et al,	l, 1990;
I: 11, Prospective C: 4 cohort, case serie	ospective Serious ^a cohort, case series	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness to any comparison ^b	No serious imprecision	No serious publication bias	24 events in 444 patients, pIR 0.08 [CI: 0.02-0.29]	2 events in 332 patients, pIR 0.15 [CI: 0.04-0.55]	Not Not relevant relevant	Low Im	Important
Death: not reported	ted									
Bibliography: Russo G, Corso LD, Biasiolo A, Berengo M, Pengo V. Simple and safe method to prepare patients with prosthetic heart valves for surgical den 2000;6(2):90-93. Saour JN, Ali HA, Mammo LA, Sieck JO. Dental procedures in patients receiving oral anticoagulation therapy. <i>J Heart Valve Dis.</i> 1994;3(3):315-317. B Hakhomer T. Dental extractions in patients maintained on continued or an anticoagulant: comparison of local hemostatic modalities. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral P</i> B doner L, Weinstein JM, Baungarten AK. Efficacy of fibrin sealant in patients on anticoagulant: Preliminary report. <i>Kardiol Pol.</i> 2005;63(2):137-140; discussion 141. De Prevention of postoperative bleeding in anticoagulant tundergoing oral surgery. use of platelet-rich plasma gel. <i>J Oral Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in anticoagulant therapy in therapy interruption. <i>J Oral Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in patients on anticoagulant therapy interruption. <i>J Oral Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in patients on anticoagulant therapy interruption. <i>J Oral Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in patients on anticoagulant therapy interruption.] <i>Oral Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in patients taking oral auticoagulant. <i>Bis Stonadol Chir Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in anticoagulant therapy: the use of tranexamic acid. <i>Rev Stonadol Chir Maxillofac.</i> 2003;104(2):777-79. Matt extraction for patients on anticoagulant therapy: the use of a fibrin scalant. <i>Quintesserce Int.</i> 1992;23(10):713-716. Bilinder D, Maxillofac Surg. 2007;65:1149-1154. Keiani Mo Institutes antisticagilation and minor oral surgery: should the anticoagulation regimen be altered? <i>J Oral Maxillofac Surg.</i> 2000;58(2	sso G, Corso LJD alour JN, Ali HA Latal extractions in ein JM, Baumgart A, Pelc R, Ciešfik toperative bleedin nenger L, Abati S. on of postoperative ants on oral anticos <i>ingapore Dent J.</i> 2 . Postextraction he ined on oral antico d minor oral surg on adjustment, ar trator.	Bibliography: Russo G, Corso LD, Biasiolo A, Berengo M, Pengo V. 2000;6(2):90-93. Saour JN, Ali HA, Mammo LA, Sieck JO. Dental proced Hashomer T. Dental extractions in patients maintained on continued o Bodner L, Weinstein JM, Baumgarten AK. Efficacy of fibrin sealant in pati Cieślik-Bielewska A, Pelc R, Ciešlik T. Ortal surgery procedures in patiert Prevention of postoperative bleeding in anticoagulated patients undergoi Cargnel M, Strohmenger L, Abati S. Oral surgery in patients on anticoagula Reck J. [Prevention of postoperative bleeding in patients taking oral antic extraction for patients on oral anticoagulant therapy. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med C</i> for haemostasis. <i>Singapore Dent J.</i> 2005;27(1):13-16. Street AM, Leung V Lustig JP, Baston I. Postextraction hemostasis in patients on anticoagulant in patients maintained on oral anticoagulant therapy: comparison of INF Anticoagulation and minor oral surgery: should the anticoagulatton regim ^a No information on adjustment, and blinding of outcome assessment. ^b No direct comparator:	o M, Pengo V. Simr O. Dental procedures on continued oral an in sealant in patients, edures in patients on tients undergoing on the son anticoagulant tree is on anticoagulant tree is AM, Leung W. Us, n anticoagulant thera parison of INR valu agulation regimen be te assessment.	ble and safe meth in patients receiving in patients receiving an various levels c a nuticoagulants. I al surgery: use of atment without th lants. Effects of tr 2ahol. 1990;70(3) e of tranexamic ac py: the use of a fit- te with occurrence altered? J Oral M	iod to prepare p ing oral anticoagu parison of local of oral anticoagula of indiminany repor platelet-rich plat erapy interruption amexamic acid]. I 274-277. Ramli 1 sid mouthwash in vrin sealant. <i>Quin</i> e of postoperativ <i>taxillofac Surg.</i> 20	atients with prosthetic dation therapy. J Heart ¹ hemostatic modalities. ant undergoing and surg t. Kardiol Pol. 2005;650 sma gel. J Oral Maxillofac Surg dev Stomatol Chir Maxi & Abdul Rahman R. Mi dental procedures in p tessence Int. 1992;23(10 e bleeding. Int J Oral A 000;58(2):131-135; disc	Bibliography: Russo G, Corso LD, Biasiolo A, Berengo M, Pengo V. Simple and safe method to prepare patients with prosthetic heart valves for surgical dental procedures. <i>Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.</i> 2000;6(2):90-93. Saour JN, Ali HA, Mammo LA, Sieck JO. Dental procedures in patients receiving oral anticoagulation therapy. <i>J Heart Vidue Ds.</i> 1994;3(3):315-317. Blinder D, Mamor Y, Martinowitz U, Taicher S, Hashomer T. Dental extractions in patients maintained on continued oral anticoagulant: comparison of local hemostatic modalities. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.</i> 1999;56(4):431-434. Cesilik FT. Oral anticoagulant: sontous levels of oral anticoagulant undergoing oral surgery. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.</i> 1999;56(4):431-434. Cesilik FT. Oral anticoagulate patients on various levels of oral anticoagulant undergoing oral surgery. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.</i> 1999;56(4):431-434. Cesilik FT. Oral surgery procedures in patients on anticoagulant: receiving or local hemostatic modalities. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.</i> 1999;56(4):431-434. Castrol of postoperative bleeding in anticoagulat treatment without therapy internation. <i>J Oral Maxillofae. Surg. 2007;65</i> :1149-1154. Keiami Moldagh K. Loeh I. Legnand W. Daelemans P. Martod of a patients un oral anticoagulant therapy: <i>Oral Med Oral Pathol.</i> 1990;70(3):274-277. Ranli R, Abdul Rahman R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulant therapy: <i>Oral Med Oral Pathol.</i> 1990;70(3):274-277. Ranli R, Abdul Rahman R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulant therapy: <i>Oral Med Oral Pathol.</i> 1990;70(3):274-277. Ranli R, Abdul Rahman R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulant therapy: <i>Oral Med Oral Pathol.</i> 1990;70(3):274-277. Ranli R, Abdul Rahma R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulant therapy: <i>Oral Med Oral Pathol.</i> 1990;70(3):274-277. Ranli R, Abdul Rahma R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulant therapy: <i>Oral Med Oral Pathol.</i> 1990;70(3):274-277. Ranli R, Abdul Rahma R. Minor oral surgery in anticoagulant therap	ental procedures. <i>Clin A</i> Bilinder D, Manor Y, Ma <i>Pathol Oral Radiol Endoo</i> <i>I Pathol Oral Radiol Endoo</i> Della Válle A, Sammartin 278. Ferrieri GB, Castigli Motlagh K, Loeb I, Legran artinowitz U, Mazar AL, 7 lated patients: local measu ants. <i>Med J Aust</i> . 1990;15: r Y, Martinowitz U, Taiche i.18-521. Campbell JH, Ah and S2 legends for expan	<i>ppl Thromb 1</i> trinowitz U, Tä d. 1999;88(2):1 d. 1999;86(4):5 d. 0, Marenzi oni S, Carmag d W, Daeleman d W, Daeleman aicher S, et al res alone are si 3(10):630. Zus r S. Dental ext varado F, Mu varado F, Mu	Hemost. aicher S. aizher S. 137-140. 140. 122. 140. 122. 140. 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. Dental 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

5

No. of Patients No. of Patients Effect Studies Design inductions inconsistency Inductions Stopping VKA 3. dd Effect inductions Studies Design innutations inconsistency Inprecision Unit h Prohemostatic Before Procedure With 65% CIJ Absolute Quality 3 RCT Serious Not relevant Noserious 0 centris in 35 patients 0 c				Qual	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings	ngs			
0. of tudies Design Limitations Inducedues Target INR of 15-1.6 95% CD 10. of tudies Design Limitations Inducedues Mith Prohemostatic Before Procedure With Before Procedure With 137 serious Relative 95% CD 11. ALL Serious Not relevant Not serious Orient Not serious 0 events in 138 patients 0 events in 132 patients 95% CD 11. ALL Serious Not relevant No serious No serious 0 events in 138 patients 0 events in 132 patients 0 11. ALL Serious Not relevant No serious No serious 0 events in 138 patients 0 events in 132 patients 0 11. ALL Serious Not relevant No serious 0 events in 138 patients 0 events in 132 patients 0 11. ALL Serious Not relevant No serious 0 events in 138 patients 0 events in 132 patients 0 11. ALL Serious Not relevant No serious 0 events in 139 patients 0 0 11. ALL Serious Not relevant No serious 0 events in 139 patients 0 0 11.								No. of Paties	nts	Effect		[
Alternational Antipolation Alternation Alternat		Design	Limitations	Inconsistency		Imprecision	Other Considerations	Continue VKA Combined With Prohemostatic Intervention	Stopping VKA 2-3 d Before Procedure With Target INR of 1.5-1.8	Relative (95% CI)	bsolute Q	'uality Iı	mportance
RCT Serious Not relevant No serious Serious No serious No serio					Th	nromboembolic ev	ent: Borea et al,	1993; Evans et al, 2002; Sacc	xo et al, 2006				
RCT Serious Not relevant No serious	c.	RCT	Serious ^a	Not relevant	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision ^b	No serious publication bias	0 events in 138 patients	0 events in 132 patients	0		Low	Critical
RCT Serious Not relevant No serious					Major I	bleeding (follow-u	p 1-8 d): Borea e	t al, 1993; Evans et al, 2002;	Sacco et al, 2006				
Moderate bleeding (follow-up 1-8 d): Borea et al, 1903; Sacco et al, 2006; RCT Serious Not relevant No serious Serious No serious Serious No serious Serious Serious Dents in 132 0.68 Low RCT Serious Not relevant No serious No serious 9 events in 12 events in 132 0.68 Low RCT Serious Not relevant No serious 138 patients, 9.2% patients, 15.1% (0.29-1.53) Low RCT Serious Not relevant No serious 14 events in 72 patients 7 events in 1.76 Low Bath: not renorted indirectness imprecision ^b publication 14 events in 72 patients (0.78-3.96) Low	°	RCT	Serious ^a	Not relevant	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision ^b	No serious publication bias	0 events in 138 patients	0 events in 132 patients	0		Low	Critical
RCT Serious ^a Not relevant No serious No serious 9 events in 12 events in 0.68 Low indirectness indirectness indirectness indirectnes indirectnes 0.29-1.53 0.68 Low RCT Serious ^a Not relevant No serious No serious 14 events in 72 patients, 9.002 1.76 Low RCT Serious ^a No trelevant No serious No serious 14 events in 72 patients 7 events in 1.76 Low indirectness indirectness inprecision ^b publication bublication 67 patients (0.78-3.96) Low					Moderate	e bleeding (follow	-up 1-8 d): Borea	t et al, 1993; Evans et al, 200.	2; Sacco et al, 2006				
Minor bleeding (follow-up 1-8 d): Borea et al, 1993; Evans et al, 2002 RCT Serious Not relevant No serious No serious 14 events in 72 patients 7 events in 1.76 Low Pearly not renorted indirectness imprecision ^b publication 67 patients (0.78-3.96)	3	RCT	Serious ^a	Not relevant	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision ^b	No serious publication bias	9 events in 138 patients, 9.2%	12 events in 132 patients, 15.1%	0.68 (0.29-1.53)			Important
RCT Serious ^a Not relevant No serious Serious No serious 14 events in 72 patients 7 events in 1.76 Low indirectness indirectness imprecision ^b publication 67 patients (0.78-3.96)						Minor bleeding	(follow-up 1-8 d)	: Borea et al, 1993; Evans et	al, 2002				
Death- not remorted	61	RCT	Serious ^a	Not relevant	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision ^b	No serious publication bias	14 events in 72 patients	7 events in 67 patients	1.76 (0.78-3.96)			Important
	Death: no	ot reporte	ed										

6

^aNo blinding of the patients, probably no blinding of the other research team members.

^bFew patients, few events, broad CIs include benefit as well as harm.

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

		Quality Assessment	sment				Summary of Findings	ngs			
						No. of Patients	atients	Effect	ect		
No. of Studies Design	n Limitations	s Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Continue VKA Around the Time of the Procedure	Stopping VKA Approximately 5 d Before the Procedure	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Absolute Quality Importance
				Thror	Thromboembolic event: not reported	t: not reported					
I: 0, C: 0						Not reported	Not reported				Critical
		Major bleedir	ng (follow-up 24	h to 6 mo): I/C:	Alcaly 2001; Billi	Major bleeding (follow-up 24 h to 6 mo): I/C: Alcaly 2001; Billingsley and Maloney, 1997; Kargi et al, 2002; Syed 2004	; Kargi et al, 2002; Syed	2004			
I: 4, C: 4 Prospective cohort	ive Serious ^a t	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	No serious publication bias	0 events in 96 patients	0 events in 383 patients	Not relevant	Not relevant	Low	Critical
		Moderate bleeding	g (follow-up 24]	h to 6 mo): I/C: /	Alcaly 2001; Billin	Moderate bleeding (follow-up 24 h to 6 mo): I/C: Alcaly 2001; Billingsley and Maloney, 1997; Kargi et al, 2002; Syed et al, 2004	Kargi et al, 2002; Syed e	t al, 2004			
I: 4, C: 4 Prospective cohort	ive Serious ^a t	Serious Inconsistency ^b	No serious indirectness	Serious	No serious publication bias	6 events in 96 patients pIR 0.20 [0.10-0.42]	5 events in 383 patients, pIR 0.34 [0.01-0.06]	Not relevant	Not relevant	Low	Important
		Minor bleeding (follow-up 24	(follow-up 24 h	to 6 mo): I/C: Alc	caly 2001; Billings	h to 6 mo): I/C: Alcaly 2001; Billingsley and Maloney, 1997; Kargi et al, 2002; Syed et al, 2004	argi et al, 2002; Syed et :	al, 2004			
I: 4, C: 4 Prospective cohort	ive Serious ^a t	Serious Inconsistency ^b	No serious indirectness	Serious	No serious publication bias	25 events in 96 patients, pIR 0.34 [0.23-0.51]	33 events in 383 patients, pIR 0.34 [0.08-0.15]	Not relevant	Not relevant	Low	Important
Death: not reported	ł										

Table S6-[Section 2.5] PICO: In Patients Receiving Warfarin Therapu and Having a Minor Skin Procedure, Continuing Warfarin Around the Time

^a No information on adjustment, and blinding of outcome assessment.

expansion of abbreviations.

^bRisk increase among studies varied between factor 0 and 10 (moderate bleeding event) and between factor 0 and 4.4 (minor bleeding event). ^e Small event rates in both groups.

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

7

		Quality	Quality Assessment				Summary of Findings	Findings			
					_	Z	No. of Patients	Effect	ct	_	
Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Continue VKA Around the Time of the Procedure	Stopping VKAs Approximately 5 d Before the Procedure	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
	Th	romboembolic eve	nt: (follow-up 1 w	zk) I: Hirschman	2006; Katz et al, 5	2003; C: Hirschman	Thromboembolic event: (follow-up 1 wk) I: Hirschman 2006; Katz et al, 2003; C: Hirschman et al, 2006; Katz et al, 2003 (2 arms)	2003 (2 arms)			
Prospective cohort, case series ^a	Serious ^b	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	No publication bias	2 events in 1,165 patients°	0 events in 19,626 patients°	Not relevant	Not relevant	Low	Critical
leeding (follov	w-up median, 1 Hirschmar	Major bleeding (follow-up median, 1 wk; range, 1/2 wk to 144 wk) I: Hirschman 2006; Kallio et al, 2004 (2 arms	to 144 wk) I: Fu 2 2004 (2 arms); Ki	2007; Hirschman atz et al, 2003 (2	2006; Katz et al. 2 arms); Lumme et	2003; Narendran an: : al, 1994; Narendra	median, 1 wk; range, 1/2 wk to 144 wk) I: Fu 2007; Hirschman 2006; Katz et al, 2003; Narendran and Williamson, 2003; Rotenstreich et al, 2001; Wirbelauer et al, 2004; C: Hirschman 2006; Kallio et al, 2004 (2 arms); Katz et al, 2003 (2 arms); Lumme et al, 1994; Narendran and Williamson, 2003; Wirbelauer et al, 2004	tenstreich et al, Wirbelauer et	2001; Wirbel al, 2004	lauer et al,	, 2004; C:
Prospective cohort, case seriesª		No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	No publication bias	0 events in 1,335 patients°	25 events in 21,084 patients°	0	0	Low	Critical
bleeding (foll	low-up median, Hirschmar	Moderate bleeding (follow-up median, 1 wk; range, 1/2 wk to 144 wk) Hirschman 2006; Kallio et al, 2004 (2 arm		1 2007; Hirschme atz et al, 2003 (2	nn 2006; Katz et al arms); Lumme et	l, 2003; Narendran ^a : al, 1994; Narendrav	p median, 1 wk; range, 1/2 wk to 144 wk) I: Fu 2007; Hirschman 2006; Katz et al, 2003; Narendran and Williamson, 2003; Rotenstreich et al, 2001; Wirbelauer et al, 2004; C: Hirschman 2006; Kallio et al, 2004 (2 arms); Katz et al, 2003 (2 arms); Lumme et al, 1994; Narendran and Williamson, 2003; Wirbelauer et al, 2004	totenstreich et a Wirbelauer et	l, 2001; Wirb al, 2004	oelauer et	al, 2004; C:
Prospective cohort, case series ^a	Serious ^b	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	No publication bias	4 events in 1,335 patients⁰	42 events in 21,084 patients°	Not relevant	Not relevant	Low	Important
leeding (follov	w-up median, 1 Hirschmar	Minor bleeding (follow-up median, 1 wk; range, 1/2 wk to 144 wk) I: Hirschman 2006; Kallio et al, 2004 (2 arms	to 144 wk) I: Fu 2 2004 (2 arms); Ki	2007; Hirschman atz et al, 2003 (2	2006; Katz et al. 2 arms); Lumme et	2003; Narendran an : al, 1994; Narendra	median, 1 wk; range, 1/2 wk to 144 wk) I: Fu 2007; Hirschman 2006; Katz et al, 2003; Narendran and Williamson, 2003; Rotenstreich et al, 2001; Wirbelauer et al, 2004; C: Hirschman 2006; Kallio et al, 2004 (2 arms); Katz et al, 2003 (2 arms); Lumme et al, 1994; Narendran and Williamson, 2003; Wirbelauer et al, 2004	tenstreich et al, ; Wirbelauer et	2001; Wirbel al, 2004	lauer et al	, 2004; C:
Prospective cohort, case seriesª	Serious ^b	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	No publication bias	20 events in 1,335 patients°	61 events in 21,084 patients°	Not relevant	Not relevant	Low	Important
Death: not reported											
phy: Katz J, F ₀ $iobgy$. 2003; J] $\overline{\mathrm{F}_{0}}$ $iobgy$. 2003; J] $\overline{\mathrm{P}_{0}}$ H , Pham DT. [(i H, Pham DT. [v] S associated with ions in extracapt ions in extracapt I in the second no evidence S in studies were the studies were	eldman MA, B. 10(9):1784-1788 tz A, Segev F, J Cataract surger th retrobulbar/p ssular cataract st ssular cataract st of McDonald H vents in many s o cohort studies	Bibliography: Katz J, Feldman MA, Bass EB, et al; Study of Medical Bibliography: Katz J, Feldman MA, Bass EB, et al; Study of Medical Ophthalmology. 2003;110(9):1784-1788. Narendran N, Williamson TF Rotenstreich Y, Rubowitz A, Segev F, Jaeger-Roshu S, Assia EI. Effect Häberle H, Pham DT. [Cataract surgery under topical anesthesia with risk factors associated with retrobulbar/peribulbar block: a prospective stu complications in extracapsular cataract surgery. <i>Eur J Ophthalmol.</i> 1994;4 2006;41(1):30-37. Fu AD, McDonald HR, Williams DF, et al. Anticoag al. In the presence of no events in many studies. no pooling performed. Fivo of eight studies are cohort studies, six of eight studies are single-a es with desime hur four of eight studies are single-a	y of Medical Tes- ifiliamson TH. Th- sia E.I. Effect of va- schesia with oral rospective study in <i>almol.</i> 1994;4(3):1.1 <i>almol.</i> 1994;4(3):1.1 <i>almol.</i> 1994;4(3):1.1 <i>almol.</i> 1994;4(3):1.1 <i>cellonce</i> and <i>secondered</i> .	ting for Catarac ne effects of aspi warfarin therapy anticoagulants]. 51-158. Hirschm on with warfarin tudies, no inform	t Surgery Team. J irin and warfarin on bleeding duri <i>Klin Monatsbl Au</i> , <i>Sr J Anaesth</i> . 2000; an DR, Morby LJ. in vitreoretinal su attion on adjustme	Risks and benefits <u>c</u> therapy on haemorn or cataract surgery. <i>genheikd</i> . 2004;221 85(5):708-711. Lum A study of the safety rgery. <i>Retina</i> . 2007; rgery. <i>Retina</i> . 2007; rgery. <i>and</i> blinding of	Bibliography: Katz J. Feldman MA, Bass EB, et al: Study of Medical Testing for Cataract Surgery Team. Risks and benefits of anticoagulant and antiplatelet medication use before cataract surgery. <i>Ophthalmology</i> . 2003;110(9):1784-1788. Narendran N, Williamson TH. The effects of aspirin and warfarin therapy on haemorrhage in vitreoretinal surgery. <i>Acta Ophthalmol Scand</i> . 2003;81(1):38-40. Rotenstreich Y, Rubowitz A. Segev F, Jaeger-Roshu S, Assia EI. Effect of warfarin therapy on bleeding during cataract surgery. <i>J Cataract Refract Surg.</i> 2001;27(9):1344-1346. Winbelauer C, Weller A, Häberle H, Phan DT. [Cataract surgery under topical anesthesia with oral anticoagulant). <i>Klin Monatsbl Augenheikld</i> . 2004;521(9):749-752. Kallio H, Paloheimo M, Maunuksela EL. Haemorrhage and risk factors associated with retrobulbar/peribulara block: a prospective such and 1383 patients. <i>Br J Anaesth.</i> 2000;55(5):708-711. Lumme P, Laatikainen LT. Risk factors for intraoperative and early postoperative complications in extracepsular cataract surgery. <i>Br J Anaesth.</i> 2000;55(5):708-711. Lumme P, Laatikainen LT. Risk factors for intraoperative and early postoperative complications in extracepsular cataract surgery to the safety of the safety of continued anticoagulation for extaract surgery patients. <i>Nursing Forum.</i> 2006;41(1):30-37. Fu AD, McDonald HR, Williams DF, et al. Anticoagulation with warfarin in vitreoretinal surgery. <i>Retina.</i> 2007;27:290-295. See Table S1 and S2 legends for expansion of abbreviations. ¹⁶ The presence of no events in many studies no pooling performed.	tiplatelet medic urgery. Acta Op 2, 2001;27(9):13 Paloheimo M, N isk factors for init lation for catarac sl and S2 legen	ation use be hthalmal Sca 44-1346. Win Aaunuksela E Aaunuksela E traoperative a ct surgery pat ds for expans	fore catar nd. 2003;8 3L. Haeme und early p ients. Nur- ion of abb	act surgery. 3(1):38-40. 5, Weller A, orrhage and ostoperative sing Forum. reviations.

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

			Qualit	Quality Assessment				Sun	Summary of Findings	S		
						_	No. of Patients	tients	E	Effect		
No. of Studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Antiplatelet Drugs Continued	Stopping Antiplatelet Drugs	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
				Vascular n	nortality in low-1	risk patients/surge	Vascular mortality in low-risk patients/surgery (follow-up 1 mo): PEP-Trial 2000	: PEP-Trial 2000	0			
	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness ^a	Serious ^a	None	235 of 6,679 (3.5%)	252 of 6,677 (3.8%)	RR, 0.93 (0.78-1.11)	3 fewer per 1,000 (from 8 fewer to 4 more)	Moderate	Critical
				Vascular mortal	ity in moderate/	high-risk patients	Vascular mortality in moderate/high-risk patients/surgery (follow-up 1 mo): PEP-Trial 2000	1 mo): PEP-Tria	ul 2000			
1	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	Serious indirectness	Serious ^b	None	235 of 6,679 (3.5%)	252 of 6,677 (3.8%)	RR, 0.93 (0.78-1.11)	3 fewer per 1,000 (from 8 fewer to 4 more)	Low	Critical
				Nonfatal MI ir	1 low-risk patien	ts/surgery (follow	Nonfatal MI in low-risk patients/surgery (follow-up 1 mo): Nielson 2000; PEP-Trial 2000	2000; PEP-Trial	2000			
61	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	None	$106 ext{ of } 6,705 (1.6\%)$	80 of 6,704 (1.2%)	RR, 1.33 (0.99-1.77)	4 more per 1,000 (from 0 fewer to 9 more)	Moderate	Important
			Nonfe	atal MI in moderat	te/high-risk patie	ents/surgery (follo	Nonfatal MI in moderate/high-risk patients/surgery (follow-up 1-36 mo ^b): McCollum 1991; Oscarsson 2010	cCollum 1991; C	Oscarsson 2010			
61	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	None	$21 ext{ of } 395$ (5.3%)	44 of 374 (11.8%)	RR, 0.45 (0.27-0.74)	65 fewer per 1,000 (from 31 fewer to 86 fewer)	Moderate	Important
				Stroke	/TIA in low-risk	patients/surgery (Stroke/TIA in low-risk patients/surgery (follow-up 1 mo): PEP-Trial 2000	EP-Trial 2000				
	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious ^d	None	$54 ext{ of } 6,679$ (0.8%)	49 of 6,677 (0.7%)	RR, 1.1 (0.75-1.62)	1 more per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 5 more)	Moderate	Critical
			Stroke/TIA in moderate/high-risk		tients/surgery (fc	ollow-up 1-36 mo	patients/surgery (follow-up 1-36 mo): Lindblad 1993; McCollum 1991; Oscarsson 2010; Tytgat 2004	cCollum 1991; C	Oscarsson 2010; 7	lytgat 2004		
4	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	None	$30 ext{ of } 560 (5.4\%)$	37 of 538 (6.9%)	RR, 0.77 (0.49-1.23)	16 fewer per 1,000 (from 35 fewer to 16 more)	Moderate	Critical
				Nc	onfatal pulmona	ry embolism (folle	Nonfatal pulmonary embolism (follow-up 1 mo): PEP-Trial 2000	Trial 2000				
1	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious ^f	None	28 of 6,679 (0.4%)	38 of 6,677 (0.6%)	RR, 0.74 (0.45-1.21)	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 1 more)	Moderate	Important
												(Constinued)

9

			Quality	Quality Assessment				Sum	Summary of Findings	s		
							No. of Patients	ients	H	Effect		
No. of Studies De	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Antiplatelet Drugs Continued	Stopping Antiplatelet Drugs	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
				Bl	eeding requiring	transfusion (folle	Bleeding requiring transfusion (follow-up 1 mo): PEP-Trial 2000	'rial 2000				
1 R	RCTs	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious ^g	None	197 of 6,679 (2.9%)	157 of 6,677 (2.4%)	RR, 1.24 (1.01-1.53)	6 more per 1,000 (from 0 more to 12 more)	Moderate	Important
Bibliography: (PEP) trial. La acid—a prospe perioperative I vein bypasses: placebo-contro abbreviations. aCI includes fd bMCColllum e e Event rate lov d Rating down eCI includes 1 fCI includes 0 gCI includes 0 gCI includes 0 gCI includes 0	y: Pulmu Lancet. Lancet. Spective e perioc s: a mult trolled 1 is. s four add low (65 n for im for im s 16 addi one add one add	Bibliography: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) trial. <i>Lancet</i> . 2000;355(9212):1295-1302. 7 acid—a prospective double-blind placebo contro perioperative period: a randomized, controlled cl vein bypasses: a multicenter trial. <i>J Vasc Surg</i> . 198 placebo-controlled randomized trial. <i>Stroke</i> . 198 abbreviations. • CI includes four additional vascular deaths in 1, b McColllum et al: follow-up 3 y; Oscarsson: follo e Event rate low (65 events in total). • CI includes 16 additional strokes/TIA in 1,000 fCI includes one additional PE in 1,000 patients. 6 CI includes one additional bleeding event in 1,000 fCI includes one additional bleeding event in 1,000 patients.	Bibliography: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) Trial Collabora (PEP) trial. <i>Lancet</i> . 2000;355(9212):1295-1302. Tytgat SH, Laman DM acid—a prospective double-blind placebo controlled randomised trial. perioperative period: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. <i>Br J Anaes</i> vein bypasses: a multicenter trial. <i>J Vasc Surg</i> . 1991;13(1):150-161. Lindl placebo-controlled randomized trial. <i>Stroke</i> . 1993;24(8):1125-1128. M abbreviations. • CI includes four additional vascular deaths in 1,000 patients. • McColllum et al: follow-up 3 y; Oscarsson: follow-up 1 mo. • Event rate low (65 events in total). • Event rate low (65 events in total). • CI includes five additional strokes/TIA in 1,000 patients. • CI includes one additional PE in 1,000 patients. • CI includes one additional PE in 1,000 patients.) Trial Collaboral SH, Laman DM, andomised trial. <i>I</i> I trial. <i>Br J Anaest</i> 1):150-161. Lindb 8):1125-1128. MI attients. 1 mo. nal strokes/TIA p uts. 	ative Group. Preve I, Rijken AM, et al. Eur J Vasc Endow th. 2010; 104(3):30 blad B, Persson NH II = myocardial in II = myocardial in per 1,000 patients.	ention of pulmor I. Emboli rate duu <i>asc Surg.</i> 2005;2! 05-312. McColluu H, Takolander R, nfarction; $RR = 1$ i.	Bibliography: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (FEP) Trial Collaborative Group. Prevention of pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis with low dose aspirin: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) trial. <i>Lancet.</i> 2000;355(9212):1295-1302. Tytgat SH, Laman DM, Rijken AM, et al. Emboli rate during and early after carotid endarterectomy after a single preoperative dose of 120 mg acetylsalicylic acid—a prospective double-blind placebo controlled trial. <i>Br J Anaesth.</i> 2010;104(3):305-312. McCollum C, Franks PJ, Greenhaigh R. Antiplatelet drugs in fenoroppliteal vein bypasses: a multicenter trial. <i>J Vasc Surg.</i> 1991;13(1):150-161. Lindblad B, Persson NH, Takolander R, Bergqvist D. Does low-dose acetylsalicylic acid prevent stroke after carotid surgery? A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. <i>Stroke.</i> 1993;24(8):1125-1128. MI = myocardial infarction; RR = relative risk; TIA = transient ischemic attack. See Table S1 and S5 legends for expansion of other abbreviations. • CI includes four additional vascular deaths in 1,000 patients. • McCollum et al: follow-up 3 y: Oscarsson: follow-up 1 mo. • Event trate low (65 events in total). • Recollum et al: follow-up 3 y: Oscarsson: follow-up 1 mo. • Event trate low (65 events in total). • Recollum et al: follow-up 1 mo. • CI includes for additional strokes/TIA per 1,000 patients. • CI includes one additional PE in 1,000 patients. • CI includes one additional PE in 1,000 patients. • CI includes one additional bleeding event in 1,000 patients.	leep vein throm arotid endartere sson A, Gupta A, enchington G, F ow-dose acetylsal transient ischem	oosis with low d ctomy after a sing Fredrikson M, ¢ ranks PJ, Greenl icylic acid preven ic attack. See Ta ic attack. See Ta	sse aspirin: Pulmone gle preoperative dos et al. To continue or halgh R. Antiplatelet it stroke after carotid hole S1 and S5 legen hole S1 and S5 legen	uy Embolisn e of 120 mg a discontinue <i>z</i> durgs in ferr surgery? A d ds for expani ds for expani	 Prevention cetylsalicylic spirin in the oropopliteal ouble-blind, ion of other

Table S8—Continued

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the Unit of the supplication of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

10

Perioperative Management of Antithrombotic Therapy : Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

James D. Douketis, Alex C. Spyropoulos, Frederick A. Spencer, Michael Mayr, Amir K. Jaffer, Mark H. Eckman, Andrew S. Dunn and Regina Kunz *Chest* 2012;141; e326S-e350S DOI 10.1378/chest.11-2298

This information is current as of March 4, 2012

Supplementary Material

View e-supplements related to this article at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2_suppl.e326S.DC1.html

Updated Information & Services

Updated Information and services can be found at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e326S.full.html

References

This article cites 214 articles, 73 of which can be accessed free at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e326S.full.html#ref-list-1

Cited Bys

This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2_suppl/e326S.full.html#related-urls

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

Citation Alerts

Receive free e-mail alerts when new articles cite this article. To sign up, select the "Services" link to the right of the online article.

Images in PowerPoint format

Figures that appear in *CHEST* articles can be downloaded for teaching purposes in PowerPoint slide format. See any online figure for directions.

